SM Exhibit F

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Objection.
3	A. I think they report to their
4	chief surgeon as well as the CO. I don't
5	really know who is the person they directly
6	report to. It's not really I don't
7	Q. I'm asking you a different
8	question. If you don't know, that's fine.
9	If you know, I would like to know. What is
10	the chain within the medical division?
11	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
12	Objection.
13	A. That is a very broad question.
14	It's a bunch of different units within the
15	medical division. I don't know everybody's
16	chain of command. I can tell you with
17	certainty my chain of command.
18	Q. I got that.
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q. All right. You told me that
21	Ciuffo, C-i-u-f-f-o, he was the district
22	surgeon in the medical division in 2009?
23	A. Dr. Ciuffo, yes.
2 4	Q. Ciuffo, okay. I will try and
25	keep that straight. Do you know Dr. Ciuffo?

1	
-	

0 E

A. I know him in that we've spoken on the phone regarding cases. I don't believe I ever met him in person. I might have at some point over the years.

- Q. Did you ever speak to him about Schoolcraft?
- A. I would have to refer to the case folder to be certain -- actually yes, I did speak with him. I did speak with him in the beginning shortly after he -- my first evaluation with Officer Schoolcraft.
  - Q. What did you discuss with him?
- A. The officer's status, both psychologically and medically. He was -- he went out sick with a -- when he called out sick he used a medical code saying he was sick medically. He then, either directly or indirectly, informed the surgeon that there were psychological factors. So the referral got made to us. So we could not take him off of sick report. I determined that he was able to work on restricted duty basis. He did not need to be out sick psychologically, but I couldn't take him off

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	sick report, because he was not out sick
3	with a psychological code. So the district
4	surgeon did his own assessment medically and
5	determined that he was medically fit for
6	full duty and, so he medically restored his
7	status and we psychologically put him on
8	restricted duty. That was sort of just
9	coordinating the administrative aspect.
10	Q. When you say we put him on
11	restricted status, what are you referring
12	to?
13	A. The psychological evaluation
14	section.
15	Q. Who was involved in the
1 6	decision?
17	A. Myself and Dr. Propper.
18	Q. Do you remember the conversation
19	that you had with Dr. Ciuffo?
20	A. I don't recall except what's in
21	my notes. I don't have any direct memory of
22	that conversation.
23	Q. What have you done to prepare
2 4	for today's deposition?

I reviewed the full case folder.

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	I didn't review the candidate's testing
3	portion of it. I reviewed my case folder
4	from PES.
5	Q. You reviewed the file that's in
6	front of you right now?
7	A. Correct, but I did not review
8	the part that was from the psychological
9	section, which is like the pre-employment
10	training. I read that at some point years
11	ago. I didn't recently re-read that.
12	Q. When did you read the case file?
13	A. I read it yesterday. I also
14	read it a few weeks ago.
15	Q. You read the whole thing, other
16	than those pre-employment
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. You read the notes that have
19	been removed from the file, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What else did you review?
22	A. I discussed it with counsel,
23	reviewed information with counsel.
24	Q. When you say counsel, who are
25	you referring to?

	rage 140
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Provided MOS with psycho education. In that
3	paragraph.
4	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: I will
5	just note for the record it's also
6	found on the document bearing Bates
7	Number D306.
8	A. It may be also in here too, but
9	that's
10	Q. What's the date of this entry?
11	A. April 13, 2009.
12	Q. Where did you see that date? Is
13	that on the prior page?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. At the top where the f-i-f-t
16	something O Adrian Schoolcraft?
17	A. Say cont for c-o-n-t, like
18	continuation of F/F meaning face-to-face
19	with DO Adrian Schoolcraft on 4/13/09.
20	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: And
21	again, this page is NYC2996 and NYC
22	or I'm sorry D305.
23	Q. Can you read the entry that
2 4	you're referring to feedback given to MOS,
25	after that what does the entry say?

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	A. Sure. Provided MOS with psycho
3	education on mind body connection and urged
4	him to see a psychologist who specializes in
5	that. He agreed. Also, recommended a
6	medication evaluation with a psychiatrist
7	instead of his primary care physician, but
8	he declined, preferring to avoid meds if
9	possible.
LO	MR. CALLAN: Off the record.
۱1	(Discussion off the record.)
L 2	Q. Is there a reference in your
L 3	notes to you recommending that he do
L 4	long-term therapy?
L 5	A. I believe there is. Let me find
L 6	it. I don't see it my notes. However, it's
L 7	very clear in my mind. The initial
L 8	interview and I may have if I did mention
L 9	it in the subsequent interviews, it will be
2 0	on the recordings.
21	Q. So you don't see a reference in
22	your file recommending long-term therapy; is
2 3	that right?
2 4	A. I don't, because that was not

what was most important for the fitness for

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	duty evaluation. It was for his own
3	personal benefit.
4	Q. So, it wasn't that important; is
5	that what you're saying to me?
6	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
7	Objection.
8	A. To the decision about at what
9	point we would return him to full duty work
10	whether or not he dealt with those issues
11	would not have been an issue, as far as
12	fitness for police duty. For his own
13	personal life satisfaction, it would have
14	been helpful.
15	Q. What did Schoolcraft have to do
16	in order to return to full duty?
17	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
18	Objection.
19	A. He would have, you know, he
20	would have needed to have been assessed as
21	being psychologically fit for full duty. My
22	biggest concerns would be that he was
23	asymptomatic for a period of time. I would
24	have felt much better about returning him

had he done the stress management training

to know that should stressful -- when stressful things happen with his life again that these symptoms would not reoccur. We need a significant period of time to know that things really are calm and it's possible. It's not something that I had discussed with supervisors at that point, but it's possible that we might have been able to return him to full duty without being able to speak to the doctor who prescribed the Seroquel. Some doctor thought he needed an antipsychotic and it would not be prudent of us to give someone back their gun in position of police authority without knowing why that was.

- Q. Well, did you ever find out why some physician prescribed Seroquel?
- A. The officer refused to allow me to obtain that information.
  - Q. Who was it that prescribed Seroquel?
- 23 A. Dr. Sure.
- Q. How do you know that Dr. Sure prescribed Seroquel?

_	
٦.	
_	
_	

me that he did and that Officer Schoolcraft

Because Officer Schoolcraft told

2

Α.

3

3

4

\*

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

told me he was not sure why it was prescribed.

Q. Don't you, as a doctor reviewing the fitness for duty of a police officer,

the fitness for duty of a police officer, have a right to gain access to his medical file?

A. No. We do not have a right to do that without his written permission. We do have a right to say that the person cannot be cleared to go back to full duty if we don't have it. But he is not required to release his personal medical information if he does not want to.

Q. So am I correct that you would not have returned Schoolcraft to full duty without getting a release from him to talk to Dr. Sure about why Sure prescribed Seroquel?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

A. I don't know because I do not make those decisions by myself and I had not

That's

25

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

2	Objection.
3	A. I would imagine so, but I don't
4	know I never talked with him about how he
5	keeps records.
6	MR. SMITH: All right, I am
7	going to make a request for the
8	production of any references to ^
9	Schoolcraft by District Surgeon Ciuffo.
10	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: Take the
11	request under advisement. I ask that
12	you put it in writing.
13	Q. The next line says that you
14	interviewed Schoolcraft the next day, right?
15	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
16	Objection.
17	A. The same day.
18	Q. I'm sorry. You're right, the
19	same day. So you interviewed Schoolcraft on
20	October 13, 2009; is that right?
21	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
22	Objection. You mean April?
23	A. Yes.

Schoolcraft; is that right?

April 13, 2009, you interviewed

24

25

1

	2 ugu 200
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. How long was that interview?
4	A. I do not know. I don't document
5	when I start and end interviews.
6	Q. Are your notes in this exhibit
7	for that interview that day?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Can you please locate them and
LO	read them to me, please?
۱1	A. There are a few pages of notes
L 2	which suggest to me it was a longer
L 3	interview. I recall it being a long
L 4	interview, but I can't tell you exactly how
L 5	long.
L 6	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: I
L 7	believe that's page D302 in the prior
18	production.
L 9	Q. Can you please read your notes
2 0	on your interview with Schoolcraft on April
21	13, 2009?
2 2	A. Sure and where I have
2 3	abbreviation and acronyms, do you want me to
2 4	read what it stands for?
2 5	Q. Yeah, I appreciate that.

1

## C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.

2

A. Okay. April 13, 2009,

4

face-to-face with Police Officer Adrian Schoolcraft, on job since 2002. Male

5

33-years old, chest pains for about one

6

year, started in work situation like heat

7

and heavy gear on, chest tightness, EKG

8

normal. A few weeks ago on April 3, 2009,

9

was off-duty at home and felt bad, felt

10

weak, chest tightness, could feel heart

11

thumping, never -- a little out of order

12

here, but had nerve symptoms in his arms,

13

not rapid heartbeat, felt like heart does

14

when doesn't sleep well.

cars at command.

15

gave injection of Lorazepam and woke up

16 17

feeling great. Took one one milligram each

18

of the next two nights, but didn't help.

19

Last few months started getting blisters on

20

feet. I asked if he had more foot posts

am more comfortable on foot than in RMP;

21

now. He replied yes, since November, but I

22

cars are too small for me, people smoke in

24

25

Was in Navy before this and

Went to the ER at Forest Hills

212-267-6868

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	knows how to wrap blisters in moleskin,
3	would rather work alone, stomach problems
4	about six months, diarrhea and constipation.
5	No meds for it. About one month ago PCP
6	prescribed Seroquel. I asked if that was
7	for sleep or stomach. He said for sleeping
8	I think. Doesn't want
9	Q. Can I interrupt you for a
10	second?
11	A. Sure.
12	Q. Is the Seroquel the medication
13	you were referring to earlier the
14	antipsychotic?
15	A. Yes. Which is why it made no
16	sense that he was saying it was prescribed
17	for stomach stuff.
18	Q. Well, that's your question, you
19	asked him was he prescribed for sleep or for
20	his stomach; is that right?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. And he told you that he thought
23	it was for sleeping, right?
24	A. He thought in response to my
25	asking that.

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Q. Is Seroquel something that's
3	also prescribed for stomach ailments?
4	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
5	Objection.
6	A. Not to my knowledge.
7	Q. So why are you asking him about
8	why or whether or not it was prescribed in
9	his understanding for sleep or stomach?
10	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
11	Objection.
12	A. Because the context of
13	discussing medical was that he was not
14	taking any medication for the stomach
15	problem and then he said one month ago his
16	doctor prescribed Seroquel. So I wasn't
17	sure what that would relate how that
18	would relate to stomach problems.
19	Q. Okay. All right. Please
20	continue. Thank you.
21	A. Doesn't want meds. Until
22	recently hadn't seen a doctor since got out
23	of military. Trouble sleeping about three
24	months. I asked more about that. He works

4 to 12. I asked other questions. Goes to

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	bed around 5:00 a.m. I asked if that's
3	because he can't sleep and he said depends
4	on how tour went. May have notes and
5	paperwork to complete. Has TV on. Gets up
6	around 1 or 2:00 p.m. I asked if he wakes
7	up during night. He said no. I asked if he
8	falls asleep at 5:00 or gets in bed at 5:00
9	he said sleeps at 5:00.
0	Q. Can you just hold on a second.
1	Where were you just reading from?
<b>L</b> 2	A. The top of the next page. It
13	starts with, wake up during night.
L 4	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: The top
L 5	of page NYC2993 and D301.
L 6	Q. Oh, I see it's the prior page in
L 7	the first production in the second page
L 8	all right, go ahead.
L 9	A. So eight hours and he said
2 0	right. So I asked a follow-up question. He
21	says that's once every two or to three days.
22	If has errands to do, gets up 9 to
2 3	10:00 a.m. to get it done. So four to
2 4	five hours of sleep. I asked other
) E	quartiens. We gets eight hours on RDOs his

regular days off from work. During work week, three out of five days gets four to five hours. Feels rundown, wonders when it will stop, when he can work regular hours. Others seem to handle it well. Quote, I eat crap, end quote, when at work. Wants to stop eating meat for ethical reasons, but keeps on putting it off because doesn't cook and hard to carry food around on foot post. Got referrals to see nutritionist and psychiatrist. Wants a better lifestyle. Drinks too much soda, not diet, sometimes walks to the Seven-Eleven at 3:00 a.m. for junk food. I asked if he had any work He said has been told to write problems. more summonses. In January got eval saying 2.5 below standards and recommended transfer. He appealed it. Next day had sign on locker saying, quote, if you don't like your job get another one, end quote. Bosses and delegates don't even know how to go about doing an appeal. He hired a labor attorney at a cost of \$5,000 retainer. of the next page. You have that page

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Tage 100
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	number? Starts with union won't help.
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: 2994 and
4	D303.
5	A. Union won't help and delegate
6	Q. The top of that page says
7	continuation of
8	A. I'm sorry. Continuation of
9	4/13/09 face-to-face with P.O. Adrian
10	Schoolcraft.
11	Q. All right, continue, please.
12	A. Sure. Union won't help and
13	delegates don't know what to do. Eval says
14	he's been retrained and counseled, but he
15	never was. No warning. 2/25/09 MOS
16	delegate and eight to nine supervisors in a
17	room, including CO and XO, ICO, assistant
18	ICO and admin lieutenant. They said he has
19	low activity. Others writ fakes summonses
20	and command won't do anything about it.
21	They write
22	Q. Can you stop right there for a
23	second?
2 4	A. Sure.

What did you understand fake

	Page 300
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	file; is that right?
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: And it
4	looks like it's also on D291.
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and that
6	doesn't have the other handwriting on
7	top.
8	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: Right.
9	Q. Do you know who Deputy Mayor
LO	Skyler's assistant was?
L 1	A. I do not.
L 2	Q. Who is Deputy Mayor Skyler?
L 3	A. I believe he's the Deputy Mayor
L 4	of the City of New York at the time. I
L 5	never heard of him before.
L 6	Q. Then you met with Schoolcraft
L 7	when you returned from vacation a few days
L 8	of this contact, right, from Bonilla?
L 9	A. I think it was about two weeks
2 0	later, but yes, on my first day back.
21	Q. October 27th, right?
2 2	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. That's the meeting that was
2 4	recorded by Schoolcraft, right?
2 5	A. Yes.

	rage 501
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Q. And you recently listened to
3	that?
4	A. About two weeks ago.
5	Q. And am I correct that you told
6	Schoolcraft that he was not your patient?
7	A. Correct well, actually, I
8	don't remember if I said that. What I can
9	tell you is that he was not my patient. I
10	don't remember if I told him on that date
11	that he was not my patient.
12	Q. Did you tell him that it was not
13	your function to provide him with a
14	diagnosis?
15	A. Again, I don't remember if I
16	said that on that date, but it is not my
17	function to provide a diagnosis, unless I am
18	recommending someone for disability
19	retirement. That's the only time we provide
20	a diagnosis.
21	Q. Did you tell him on that date or
22	any other date that it was not in your
23	function to provide him with treatment?
24	A. I do not remember, but I can

tell you that we do not provide treatment.

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS,	M.D
---	--------------------	-----

- Q. Did you tell him on the date or the earlier time that you spoke to him in July that he could not have a copy of his file that you maintained on him?
- A. I don't remember if and when I said that, but I would have said that requests like that go to the NYPD's legal bureau and typically they do not release full case folders to officers. So what they do release is if we were recommending someone for a disability retirement and they were contesting it, they would sign a release and that would typically authorize us to release that the report recommending the disability retirement to, either their attorney or their mental health treatment provider.
  - Q. Do you recall Schoolcraft making a request for his file?
    - A. I don't recall.
- Q. But if he had requested his file, you would have declined that request, right?

## MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

1	1490 000
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Objection.
3	A. Right. I'm not authorized to
4	just give people copies of their file.
5	Q. Am I correct that on October
6	27th, you told Schoolcraft that he doesn't
7	need medication?
8	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
9	Objection. Asked and answered. You
10	can answer again.
11	A. On October 27th?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. On that date I believed he
14	based on his reporting that he hadn't had
15	symptoms in a while, it was my belief that
16	he did not need medication.
17	Q. Did you also, as of that date,
18	tell him that he didn't need to go see a
19	psychiatrist?
2 0	A. Yes.
21	Q. Am I correct that on that date
22	that you encouraged him to do the cognitive
2 3	behavioral therapy that you recommended
2 4	earlier in the year, right?
2 5	A. Yes.

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Q. And did you tell Schoolcraft
3	that his complaints about management
4	violating NYPD policy was really not your
5	concern?
6	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
7	Objection.
8	A. I don't remember whether I said
9	that or not. That would not be a concern in
. 0	his fitness for duty evaluation. Whether or
.1	not he still had physical symptoms of stress
. 2	or whether or not he had learned ways to
<b>.</b> 3	prevent that from happening in the future.
L <b>4</b>	Those are things that relate to our
. 5	decision. My purpose is doing a fitness for
L 6	duty evaluation. I'm not IAB.
L <b>7</b>	Q. Did you tell him on October 27th
<b>8</b>	that you didn't think he needs to see a
L 9	psychiatrist?
2 0	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
21	Objection. Just asked and answered.
22	A. I did just answer that.
2 3	Q. You did and the answer was?
2 4	A. I did not think he needed a
2 5	psychiatrist, because he was reporting that

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

he no longer had symptoms. What I did think -- a reason to see a psychiatrist would be for medication or evaluation to see if he needed medication. I thought -- although, some of them do therapy, I thought his best option for successful treatment would be seeing a psychologist who specialized in CBT, specifically learning stress management techniques and anxiety reduction techniques. Since he wasn't real interested in exploring other things, that at least would -- it was not clear to me if he really no longer had symptoms or if he was just saying that to get off restricted duty. Either way, even if the symptoms magically went away after a year as soon as he went on restricted duty, either way we would feel a lot better returning him to work had he learned ways of preventing that.

- Q. Did you tell --
- A. And I did wonder if maybe he still had the symptoms.
- Q. Did you tell him on October 27th
  that he was -- had what you characterized

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	was sort of anxiety disorder that was not
3	severe?
4	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
5	Objection.
6	A. I do not know.
7	Q. You don't remember?
8	A. I don't remember exactly what I
9	said. If you want you can play the tape and
LO	ask me if that's what I said, but I don't
11	know if what exactly I said. I know his
L 2	anxiety disorder at that point did not seem
١3	that severe. Whether or not I said that and
L 4	used those words, I really, I don't have a
<b>L</b> 5	recollection.
L 6	Q. As of October 27th, it was your
L 7	opinion that he was fine?
8 1	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
L 9	Objection.
2 0	A. I don't know what you mean by
21	fine.
2 2	Q. Well, what was your opinion of
2 3	his mental state as of October 27, 2009?
2 4	A. I suspected that the symptoms
2 5	hadn't gone away and he just said that

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	because he didn't want to be on restricted
3	duty. Because he had them for some
4	symptoms up to a year and they went away as
5	soon as he was on restricted duty. Not
6	likely to happen without treatment, unless
7	being on restricted duty reduced the sources
8	of his stress. Although, he said he found
9	inside work no less stressful than outside
10	work. Although, on a different date he said
11	that it was less stressful, because his
12	bosses were leaving him alone since he was
13	doing inside work. He said both. So I
14	really did not know if he was still having
15	those symptoms and saying he didn't just to
16	get back on full duty or if he if he
17	really did get better. I wasn't sure.
18	Q. Did he present himself to you as
19	coherent on October 27th?
20	A. Coherent, yes.
21	Q. And oriented in and of an
22	appropriate way?
23	A. Yes.
24	O. And did he appear to suffering

from any kind of anxiety to you?

1	c.	LAMSTEIN-REISS,	M.D
---	----	-----------------	-----

- A. I was not sure. He did not appear anxious in the interview, but he had previously reported symptoms that lasted up to a year and then sometimes people don't want to be on restricted duty so they right away say oh, no, I'm better now. I don't need this. I had it before. I don't have it now. I was not sure. One of the reasons we like to, you know, wait a period of time to see if things reverse in a way that is more apparent.
- Q. Other than him reporting to you that the physical manifestations that he had mentioned to you earlier were no longer manifesting themselves, is there anything else about his demeanor, or speech that suggested to you that he was suffering from some sort of mental Illness?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

- A. You said based on his -- specifically his behavior or speech?
- Q. Yeah, behavior or speech or demeanor?

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
3	Objection.
4	A. Not on that day in that
5	interview, but based on we don't make our
6	impressions just based on limited things
7	like that.
8	Q. Have you ever had any
9	discussions with anybody at Jamaica Hospital
L O	about Schoolcraft?
1	A. I spoke to a social worker
L <b>2</b>	there.
L 3	Q. Is that Christine Mc Mann?
<b>. 4</b>	A. Yes.
1.5	Q. What did you discuss with her?
L 6	- A. That's all in the records. I
L 7	can reference my notes if you would like.
L 8	Q. Do you have a recollection of
L 9	what you discussed with her?
2 0	A. I have a recollection that I
21	think she wanted to consult with me about
22	him and my history with him. I told her I
2 3	would be happy to do so as long as he signed
2 4	a release of information consent form
2 5	authorizing that. I think maybe a few days

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

later we spoke and she said he did not sign a release. That he didn't want to sign the release until he knew what was in the report and I told her that there is no report. There's no comprehensive evaluation report already done. And we, in those kind of situations, we provide the information verbally. We don't send them copies of our full record and she said she understood She explained it to him, but he didn't seem to believe it and the only thing she told me about him was that he had some -- I don't know if she said odd beliefs or strange beliefs or weird beliefs, some words like that, but that he was not a danger. Oh, and that he was discharged and I would have reference my notes to be certain, but I think she said he was -- part of the discharge planning, they set him up with an out patient follow-up with a psychiatrist. I would have to reference my notes to be absolutely certain of that, but I believe she said they referred him to a psychiatrist.

1		C. LAMSTE
2	while drin	king heavi
3	Q.	Okay. S
4	conclusion	here is t
5	while drin	king; is t
6	A.	Correct.
7	Q.	You can
8	<b>A</b> .	I asked
9	he had sin	ce his app
10	department	, not incl
11	incidents.	He said
12	I asked if	his arres
13	given his	command an
14	below aver	age, given
15	I noted th	at he had
16	see notes,	since tha
17	already di	scussed du
18	the interv	iew in the
19	Q.	Can I st
20	about that	? Did he
21	activity o	r that's a
22	drew based	on someth
23	<b>A</b> .	He told

- IN-REISS, M.D. ly.
- o in any event, your hat he had two blackouts hat your conclusion?
  - continue.
- how many physical fights ointment to the police uding line of duty none and the second page t activity is average d assignment or above or his command assignment. low activity and I wrote t's something he had ring the main part of handwritten notes?
- op you there and ask you tell you that he had low conclusion that you ing?
- me that his supervisors told him that he had low activity and that his annual evaluation said that he had low

24

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	activity.
3	Q. Did he also tell you that he
4	didn't think his activity was low?
5	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
6	Objection.
7	A. He said he thought his
8	numbers were lower, but that he did not
9	characterize that activity. He thought he
LO	was a still very active cop. He just didn't
11	have numbers to show for it.
<b>L 2</b>	Q. All right. Why is the number as
L 3	this entry of a few or many arrests relevant
L <b>4</b>	to a fitness for duty evaluation?
L 5	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
16	Objection.
17	A. We are finding out about overall
18	psychological functions. If someone has a
19	lot of activity the assumption is they're a
2 0	very active cop, they're hardworking. If
21	someone has significantly low activity, the
22	assumption is what then again, I
2 3	always ask given your command and
2 4	assignment. Some precincts are very high
2 5	crime precincts. Some are very low crime

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	precincts and so that's why I want to know
3	compared to the type of assignment you have
4	and the command you're in, compared to that
5	would you say you're average, above or below
6	average.
7	(Whereupon, Mr. Callan left
8	deposition room. Time noted 4:53 p.m.)
9	Q. How do you define activity?
10	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
11	Objection.
12	A. Arrest and summonses. And I ask
13	them to tell me whether it's an average
14	given that above or below.
15	Q. Is that arrests per month and
16	per quarter and summonses
17	A. I ask in general. Given your
18	command and assignment, would you say your
19	activity is average given that or above or
20	below average. They answer that
21	Q. You just
22	A whatever makes the most sense
23	for them.
24	Q. So you just focus on what they
25	consider their numbers to be for their

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS,	M.D
---	--------------------	-----

## command?

- A. Right. I don't contact the command and find out what is average and what is their numbers. You know, it's just a general ballpark where they say they're below average, average. Most people are average.
- Q. What does a low number or few activities, few arrests or few summonses; what is that relevant to in accessing somebody's fitness for duty?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

## Objection.

A. Given someone's command and assignment, if someone is working in a very low crime precinct, I would expect them to not have that much activity. If they are working in a precinct that has a lot of crime, if they're working in a precinct they're out, they have a patrol assignment and they -- it's a very high crime neighborhood and they have very, very, few summonses or arrests, it would appear that they're, you know, there's crime all around

2

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D. them and they're not working very hard in

making arrests or issuing summonses.

- So why is that relevant to an " Q. assessment by a psychologist if they're fitness for duty?
  - Α. It is --

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

- -- one of many things that we consider. It might tell us about their general personality. It might tell us if they're too anxious or depressed. It might lead to that. If they just aren't such hard workers. It may or may not be a factor. This form is just all the general different things about their personal and psychological and medical and work history that kind of touch on a broad number of areas to see if there's anything that might be of concern.
- So if somebody has low activity Q. for their precinct, that's an indication that maybe they're not fit for duty; is that right?

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
3	Objection.
4	A. That in and of itself, no.
5	Q. No, but is a factor that
6	supports a conclusion that everything else
7	being equal is less fit for duty than
8	somebody else, who has greater activity?
9	A. No.
10	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
11	Objection.
12	A. You're drawing sorry, go
13	ahead.
14	Q. Well, it's your form. I want to
15	know this information elicited on your form.
16	Am I correct that if somebody reports too
17	few a number of arrests or too few a number
18	of summonses for the area they work in, does
19	that indicate to you that they're not fit
20	for duty?
21	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
22	Objection.
23	A. No.
24	Q. Does it provide any information
25	in service of trying to make an assessment

	Page 234
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	about whether somebody is fit for duty?
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
4	Objection.
5	A. It could. It may or may not.
6	Q. In what way would a low number
7	of arrests or summonses indicate or inform
8	you about making a decision about whether or
9	not somebody is fit for duty?
10	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
11	Objection.
12	A. Everything is on a case-by-case
13	basis, so how it may or may not be relevant
14	would depend on that particular person. For

or example, it might lead us to think, well maybe they're just not a great worker, but that's not a psychological issue or it could be that if they're reporting really impairing levels like very, very severe levels of anxiety or depression and their activity has dropped as a result, then that might show that their work performance has deteriorated as their anxiety or depression got worse. That could be a factor. It may or may not be an issue.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	
2	
3	a
4	0:
5	0:
6	
- 1	

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.

Q. Is it possible that too much activity would be relevant to an assessment of factors for whether or not a police officer is fit for duty?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

- A. It could, typically it doesn't. It could.
- Q. You can't think of an example where somebody had so many arrests or so many summonses that that led you to consider that factor as supporting a conclusion that somebody was not fit for duty; is that right?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

- A. I do not recall anyone I seen where that was the case. I could think of a hypothetical example of how that might occur, but it's not something I seen in my 13 years.
  - Q. Okay. Keep reading, please.
- A. Next category is necessary force used. Said he has used physical

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	force. He has not used his firearm or
3	nightstick. He has used mace. Currently on
4	third one. It's empty for last two years.
5	Putting off getting it replaced. Used three
6	to four times. One can during Republican
7	National Convention. Department had them
8	using it a lot.
9	Reactions. I asked how many
10	CCRBs he's had, meaning Civilian Complaint
11	Review Board complaints.
12	Q. Where are you reading from?
13	A. Under reaction, necessary force
14	used.
15	Q. Oh, I see in number of CCRBs
16	directly under that.
17	A. Under reaction I asked how many
18	CCRB's they've had. He said numerous in
19	quotes. He was not sure how much of those
20	were substantiated. Some accused him of
21	racism and racial slurs. Not true, just
22	gave summonses or said can't be in park
23	after dark, et cetera. They say slurs
24	against him, not other way around. Never

placed on disciplinary monitoring. Meaning

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	for excessive CCRBs, but just found out
3	he's on some kind of, quote, secret precinct
4	level probation, end quote. Few in command
5	still talk to him because one got written up
6	for, quote, unnecessary conversation, end
7	quote, when talking with MOS.
8	Q. Can I stop you right there?
9	A. Hmm-mm.
LO	Q. Did he tell you that he was on
۱1	some sort of precinct level review?
L 2	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
L 3	Objection.
L 4	Q. Or monitoring?
L 5	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
L 6	Objection.
L 7	A. What he said was that he was on
L 8	some kind of, quote, secret precinct level
L 9	probation, end quote.
20	Q. What did you understand that to
21	mean when he told you that?
22	A. I have never heard of any kind
2 3	of a secret precinct level probation.
2 4	Q. Have you ever heard of cops
2 5	being disciplined by fellow officers or

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	superior officers?
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
4	Objection.
5	A. Yes. There's a whole procedure
6	for that.
7	Q. Have you ever heard of a blue
8	wall of silence?
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
10	Objection.
11	A. I have heard that term used.
12	Q. Have you ever heard of cops
13	being punished or retaliated against for
1 4	speaking out against misconduct in the
15	police department?
1 6	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
17	Objection.
18	A. I am not aware of any specific
1 9	examples. It I imagine it probably
2 0	happened at some point. I don't have
2 1	examples. It may have happened. It may not
22	have happened. I really don't know.
2 3	Q. Was Schoolcraft the first
2 4	officer to come to you and complain to you
2 5	about mistreatment by his supervisor about

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	what he perceived as improper conduct?
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
4	Objection.
5	A. Say that again.
6	Q. Was Schoolcraft the first person
7	to come to you and report what he perceived
8	as inappropriate conduct by his superiors?
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
10	Objection.
11	A. I don't remember.
12	Q. Has anybody ever complained to
13	you about having a quota imposed on them as
14	police officers?
15	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
16	Objection.
17	A. I think some officers complained
18	about having to meet activity goals and
19	thinking that was a quota. That's been a
20	question that's outside of my purview
21	whether or not whether that's a quota,
22	whether that's activity goals.
23	Q. Have you ever had an experience
24	where a member of the service complained to
25	you about downgrading or misreporting or not

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	filing crime reports?
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
4	Objection.
5	A. Not that I recall. There might
6	be one. I can't remember if he specifically
7	complained about that to me. He might have.
8	Q. And who was that?
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
10	Objection. I am not going to allow her
11	to answer regarding a individual she
12	evaluated without a medical release.
13	Q. All right. You don't have to
14	give me the name. Without providing the
15	name, who was this person?
16	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
17	Objection. Without providing any
18	information about his identify that
19	could identify him as one of your
20	A. It was one person who
21	Q. Let me ask you the question this
22	way, was this a member of the service?
23	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. Was he in patrol?
25	A. Yes.

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Q. Was he a he?
3	A. I think I already said he, so
4	sure, he. That narrows it down to about
5	half the police department. I guess I'm not
6	revealing too much about his identity.
7	Q. More than half I'd say. Did
8	Schoolcraft raise concerns with you about
9	not reporting crimes properly?
10	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
11	Objection.
12	A. I don't think he did. I will
13	have to check my notes, but I don't think he
14	did. What he did he say was other people
15	were writing fake summonses, which I took to
16	mean people making up everything, just to
17	turn in more activity, which I would imagine
18	it would be found it that these are people
19	who don't even exist.
20	Q. So sitting here today, you don't
21	have a recollection of him complaining about
22	downgrading or failing to report crimes
23	accurately; is that right?
24	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
25	Objection.

	-
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	A. I'm pretty certain he did not.
3	Q. Why are you certain about that?
4	A. I said I'm pretty certain.
5	Q. Why are you pretty certain?
6	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
7	Objection.
8	A. He did not. Because I don't
9	remember it and I probably would have noted
10	that. What I noted was that he said people
11	write fake summonses and no one cares. They
12	only care that his activity was low.
13	Q. Did he tell you that he had
14	concerns about being required to report
15	training when he hadn't received any
16	training?
17	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
18	Objection.
19	A. That he was required to report
20	training that he did not have, no, he did
21	not tell me that.
22	Q. Okay, did he have any complaints
23	to you about training?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. What were those complaints

	2 L 10
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	about?
3	A. He wanted more training than he
4	had received.
5	Q. So he never told you that he had
6	to sign off on training that he never
7	received, right?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. You can keep reading.
10	A. I stopped mid sentence or the
11	end of a sentence, but few in command still
12	talk to him, because one got written up for,
13	quote, unnecessary conversation, end quote,
14	when talking with MOS since appealed
15	evaluation recently.
16	Q. Do you understand that to be a
17	form of retaliation against him?
18	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
19	Objection.
20	A. I understood that he believed
21	that was a form of retaliation against him.
22	Q. And you understood that he was
23	believing that people at his precinct
24	weren't speaking to him, right?
25	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

	_
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Objection.
3	A. Yes, that was his belief as he
4	related to me.
5	Q. And he stated to you that his
6	belief that people weren't speaking to him
7	was because the people who did speak to him
8	were getting CD'd (phonetic), right?
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
10	Objection.
11	Q. Or getting written up?
12	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
13	Objection.
14	A. He said one person was written
15	up and he believed it was due to that.
16	Q. My question to you is did you
17	understand that he was telling you that he
18	believed that people were not speaking to
19	him because there were people being written
20	up for speaking to him?
21	MR. KRETZ: Objection.
22	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
23	Objection.
2 4	A. That's what he was relating.
2 5	Q. Okay. Keep going, please.

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	A. ICO lieutenant took memo book
3	when on post. MOS made complaints and duty
4	captain angry. Duty captain was his own XO
5	and said they have to watch him closely.
6	Q. What did you understand about
7	him telling you about the duty captain being
8	angry?
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
10	Objection.
11	A. I really I wasn't sure that
12	there was a dispute between him about
13	whether or not he deserved a low evaluation
14	rating.
15	Q. Did you ever inquire to him
16	about why the duty captain was angry at him?
17	A. I believe he was referring to
18	being angry that he was appealing his
19	evaluation. That's what he was saying.
20	That was my assumption at the time.
21	Q. Okay, please continue.
22	A. I asked when that was, he said
23	approximately March 16th.
24	Q. Of 2009?
25	A. Yes. I didn't write that, but

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	that's what I was referring to.
3	Q. Did you understand that he was
4	being watched closely as of March 31, 2009?
5	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
6	Objection.
7	A. As of March 31st?
8	Q. Yes.
9	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
L O	Objection.
L 1	A. It's my understanding he told me
<b>12</b>	that his XO said they have to watch him
13	closely. Whether or not I have no
14	knowledge of whether or not these things
15	actually occurred. I know that that's what
L 6	he told me.
L 7	Q. Did you ever draw any
18	conclusions about whether or not what he was
L 9	telling you was true or not?
2 0	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
21	Objection.
22	A. It's not my place to investigate
2 3	that. So I did not draw any conclusion.
2 4	Q. You didn't draw any conclusion
2 5	then about whether or not what he was saying

- 1	
1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	was true or not?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Have you ever drawn any
5	conclusions about whether or not what he was
6	telling you about what was going on at the
7	command level with managers was true?
8	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
9	Objection.
10	A. I have not. I did not. That's
11	not something I investigated.
12	Q. Did it ever enter your mind that
13	Schoolcraft was justified in his concerns
1 4	about the 81st Precinct supervision of him?
15	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
16	Objection.
17	A. That was one possibility, but
18	either way, that's not what leads to the
19	fitness for duty decision to remove his
20	guns. It's the symptoms he's experiencing
21	and reaction to that.
22	Q. Well, my question is did you
2 3	ever reach a conclusion that Officer
2 4	Schoolcraft's concerns about how he was
2 5	being supervised were legitimate?

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	MR. KRETZ: Objection.
3	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
4	Objection.
5	A. How he was being supervised.
6	Can you be more specific?
7	Q. No, how he was being he was
8	reporting to you that he's got concerns
9	about how first
L <b>O</b>	A. Right.
11	Q. What he's being told to do and
12	how he's being treated by supervisors,
13	right; isn't that the fair to say?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Did you ever form a conclusion
1 6	in your mind at any time after that oh,
17	yeah, he was being treated badly by
18	supervisors?
19	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
2 0	Objection.
21	A. I did not form a conclusion
2 2	about that.
2 3	Q. All right. Can you keep
2 4	reading, please.
2 5	A. Sure. Physical status general

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	health I wrote see notes since those issues
3	were already assessed
4	Q. Where are you reading from?
5	A. Physical status.
6	Q. What about history of
7	psychological problems
8	A. We're above that. We haven't
9	gotten to that yet. Above that.
10	Q. Oh, okay. Thank you.
11	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: I will
12	just note Matthew Koster is joining us
13	now.
14	(Time noted: 5:12 p.m.)
15	A. General health just wrote see
16	notes, since that had already been discussed
17	earlier in the interview. Sick time on job.
18	Out sick a few weeks, hardly ever or hardly
19	never went sick. He was never chronic sick.
20	Hospitalizations kept in the emergency room
21	overnight recently. Current medication,
22	none. Took Lorazepam for two days.
23	Q. What is Lorazepam?
2 4	A. It's an antianxiety medication
2.5	in the class of drugs called benzodiazenine.

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Q. What was your understanding as
3	to where he got that drug from?
4	A. The Forest Hills Hospital
5	emergency room. They gave him an injection
6	of it and then they gave him a prescription
7	for a two-day supply or they gave him two
8	pills. Something like that.
9	Q. As of the time that you were
10	taking these notes, did you know whether or
11	not Schoolcraft had taken any of the
12	Seroquel?
13	A. I can't know with certainty, but
14	he told me he did not.
15	Q. Do you have any reason to
16	believe that he provided that information to
17	you inaccurately?
18	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
19	Objection.
20	A. No. He seemed most open during
21	his initial interview. So I believed him
22	when he said that he got the prescription
23	for it, but he wasn't taking it.

Q. When you say he got the

prescription, you mean he got the piece of

24

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	Objection.
3	Q. For years that had been going
4	on, right?
5	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
6	Objection.
7	A. Some of it was more recently.
8	He was still trying to find homes for some
9	of the animals. He was still dealing with
10	stress about the fact that he still hadn't
11	file his tax returns.
12	Q. Isn't it true that he started to
13	have physical manifestations of stress
14	within a year of when he presented himself
15	to you?
16	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
17	Objection.
18	A. What he told me is that the
19	physical manifestations started about a year
20	earlier and he told me it was only his
21	recent evaluation that was low and that he
22	was told that he had to increase his
2 3	activity. So my understanding was that that
2 4	was that came the demand for telling
25	him he had low activity was after the

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	physical manifestations started. That was
3	my understanding.
4	Q. So it's your understanding that
5	he got the bad evaluation after he started
6	having these physical manifestations?
7	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
8	Objection.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. When did the physical
11	manifestations begin, about a year before
12	you met him?
13	A. Yes, that's what he reported.
14	Q. So he reported to you that he
15	started having physical manifestations of
16	the stress as of the spring of 2008?
17	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
18	Objection.
19	A. Approximately. He wasn't
2 0	certain exactly, but about a year earlier.
21	Q. Did he report to you that he had
2 2	any physical manifestations of stress before
2 3	the spring of 2008?
2 4	A. He did not.
2 5	Q. Can you tell me what events went

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	on restricted dutyon sick, that's a very
3	rare occasion, right? Is that what you're
4	saying?
5	A. I wouldn't say it's rare. It's
6	people who are either typically, when
7	they're hospitalized, they would be out
8	sick. Sometimes when they come out of a
9	hospital they attend a day treatment program
10	for a while. We would certainly keep them
11	out to sick to attend that program or
12	after that most people are able to return to
13	working inside, nonenforcement duty. There
14	are some people who just might be too
15	agoraphobic, too psychotic. Things that
16	would really just make them unable to answer
17	phones, do filing that kind of stuff.
18	(Whereupon Ms. Bauza left the
19	deposition, time noted 5:49.)
20	Q. When you're put on restricted
21	duty, does that automatically mean that your
22	gun is removed?
23	A. Yes.
24	O. Does that automatically mean

that your shield is removed?