Schoolcraft v. The City Of New York et al

Doc. 230

## MILLER KORZENIK SOMMERS LLP

488 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-5702 TEL.212-752-9200 • FAX 212-688-3996 • WWW.MKSLEX.COM

March 12, 2014

## **DELIVERY BY FAX**

The Honorable Robert W. Sweet United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007



Re: Schoolcraft v. The City of New York, et. al., 10-cy-6005

## Dear Judge Sweet:

I represent Graham Rayman, a reporter who is not a party to this action but who has been served with a subpoena by the City seeking documents and other material it claims is relevant to this case. Mr. Rayman asserted a reporter's privilege in objecting to the subpoena.

On March 5, the City filed a Motion to Compel Mr. Rayman to comply with the subpoena. Under Local Rule 6.1, the date for a party to respond to a discovery motion would be March 12. I write to request that Mr. Rayman's time to respond to the motion be extended to Friday, March 28, and that the City's time to reply be extended accordingly, to Friday, April 4. This is Mr. Rayman's first request for an extension on this motion. I have spoken to counsel for the City, who consents to the extension and joins in this request.

**USDC SDNY** DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY DOC #: DATE FILED:

cc: Suzanna Publicker Mettham. Assistant Corporation Counsel Very truly yours,

David S. Korzenik

Attorney for Graham Rayman

dkorzenik@mkslex.com