03/03/2014 HON 11:28 FAX 212 355 3559 CA3-202

Ø002/003

p.2



100 CHURCH STREET

NEW YORK NY 10007

OC SDNY

CUMENT

TROMCALLY FILLED

THE CITY OF NEW YORK JUDGE SWEET CHAMBER LAW DEPARTMENT

Secreto Publicker Metthem Assistant Corporation Counsel phone: (212) 156-2372. fax: (212) 788-9776 smeather@law.nyc.gov

Merch 3, 2014

ZACHARY W. CARTER Corpuration Counsel

BY HAND DELIVERY & FAX

Honomble Robert W. Sweet United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

> Re: Schoolcraft v. The City of New York, et al. 10-CV-6005 (RWS)

Your Honor:

I am the Assistant Corporation Counsel in the office of Zuchury W. Carler, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, assigned to represent City Defendants in the above-referenced matter. I write today to request that the City be granted until Friday, March 7, 2014 to reply in further support of City Defendants' motion dated February 18, 2014. This collargement is due to the fact that plaintiff has raised new issues in his February 28, 2014 opposition that City Defendants must uddress for the first time. Plaintiff's counsel objects to this request, because he believes that there will be a Court conference this Wednesday, March 5, 2014 at which time the matters will be addressed. City Defendants are unaware of any such conference, and ask that any such conference be held in abeyance until the matters may be fully briefed.

City Defendants filed a letter motion seeking a Rule 37 conference regarding plaintiff's improper discovery requests on February 18, 2014. According to Local Rule 6.1, plaintiff's response was due on February 25, 2014. On February 27, 2014, plaintiff belatedly filed a motion for an extension of time to respond to that motion, though he never sought counsel's consent for the enlargement. Plaintiff filed his opposition on February 28, 2014. City Defendants' reply to such opposition is due within two days of service thereof, or March 4, 2014. The opposition drafted by plaintiff contains a number of significant new discovery issues, which were not raised in City Defendants' February 18, 2014 letter motion. Therefore, City Defendants request an enlargement until Friday, March 7, 2014 to provide a reply in further support of City Defendants' February 18, 2014 letter motion, and oppose new allegations raised in plaintiff's February 28, 2014 letter motion.

Extension to refly to Merch? graceted. Whotion to be heard March 13

**2**003/003

City Defendants thank the Court for its consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

Suzanna Publicker Metham Assistant Corporation Counsel Special Federal Litigation Division

Mettham

cc: Nathaniel Smith (By Fax)
Attorney for Plaintiff

Gregory John Radomisli (By Fax)
MARTIN CLEARWATER & BELL LLP
Attorneys for Jamaica Hospital Medical Center

Brian Lcc (By Fax)
IVONE, DEVINE & JENSEN, LLP
Attorneys for Dr. Isak Isakov

Bruce M. Brady (By Fax)
CALLAN, KOSTER, BRADY & BRENNAN, LLP
Attorneys for Lillian Aklana-Bernier

Walter A. Kretz, Jr. (By Fax) SCOPPETTA SEIFF KRETZ & ABERCROMBIE Attorney for Defendant Mauriello