

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

AT ORLANDO FLORIDA

ROY L. SMARTT (Pro se) 120 W. Chipola Avenue Deland, Florida 32720

vs.

PLAINTIFF

NATIONAL PERSONNEL
RECORDS CENTER;
SCOT LEVINS,
Director, Et al
1 Archives Drive
St Louis, MO. 63138
DEFENDANT.

Case No.

6:16-cv-1667 ORL-40DAB

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AFORESAID:

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

The Court's Jurisdiction is invoked under / by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the Unitrd States and the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses thereof.

COMPLAINT

The plaintiff, Roy L. Smartt, sues the Defendant, National Personnel Records Center, For personal damages in the amount of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars.

Further, Plaintiff sues Defendant for Punitive Damages in the amount of Three Million Dollars.

_cont.
_

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The defendant is the keeper of the National Person nel Militiary Records and their safe keeping, Against FIRE, WATER, etc..

It was the duty of the defendant to special care of suc-h militiary records Though the defendant's NEGLIGENCE, More than a million records were destroyed. SEE EXHIBIT 'A'.

Plaintiff's militiary records were allegedly destroyed in the same fire. Thus causing the plaintiff great harm in as much as causing the plaintiff to lose all hope of ever having his bad discharge corected by the Correction Board, After having spending better than fourty (40) years of trying to get his bad discharge up graded to honorable. SEE-EXHIBIT--B--

Because of the defendant's NEGLIGENCE and failure to store plaintiff's record in a FIRE PROOF storage, P; aintiff has been caused to suffer great mental stress and and worry.

It is an unavoidable fact that plaintiff's records were NOT stored in a 'FIRE PROOF' type storage.

Plaintiff is hereby suing the defendant for PUN ITIVE DAMAGES , Seeking the sum of three million dollary.Defendant admi-ts this fact.

Due to the defendant's NEGLIGENCE, Plaintiff has no way or hope to prove that he was denied and deprived of ALL of his Federal Constitutional Rights in 1953 when the plaintiff was wrongfully discharged by one (1) person,
Lt Col. Bracher, was the proscuter, Judge, and Excuter. The plain -tiff was denied DUE PROCESS; EQUAL PROTECTION; RIGHT TO be informed of any / all charges against himm; TRIAL BY JURY; Any chance to appeal the CAUSE FOR DISCHARGE.

COMPLAINT	cont.	
Case No		
Pg. # 3		

<u>EXHIBIT 'D'</u> was also wrote by a Correction Board membe -r and in the fourth (4th) line, It is stated:

"distroyed and can not be reconstructedd".
FURTHERMORE, The Board Member talks of a "BRIEF" that has a
lot of "PERTinent Evidence in it". This is talked of, LONG
AFTER THE FIRE OF 1973. Yet, The defendant has refused, FOR
YEARS, To furnish ...to provide the plaintiff with his right
-fully due "COPIES OF RECORDS like the plaintiff has requested
a large number of times. THIS IS A GROSS VIOLATION of the
plaintiff's Federal Constitutional rights to DUE PROCESS and
EQUAL PROTECTION.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff DEMANDS JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANT.

FURTHERMORE, Plaintiff DEMANDS AN ANSWER HERETO, WITHIN TWENTY ONE (21) DAYS. TOO, Plaintiff DEMANDS the defendant to furnish the plaintiff with VERBATIM COPIES of any and ALL papers, documents, and records that the defendant intends to use in his defense.

Respectfully submitted

/s/

OF RECORD

PLAINTIFF AVERS THAT THIS FOREGO LAWSUIT IS BROUGHT IN GOOD FAITH.

-Se.)

Roy I. Smartt, Pl, aintiff (Pro

Roy L. Smartt 120 W. Chipola Avenue Deland, Florida 32720

NO PHONE MAIL ONLY