## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -52)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 947 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Sep 05, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

## SCHEDULE CTO-52 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
ARIZONA			
AZ	2	18-02646	Hopkins v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Northwest Arizona Employee Benefit Trust v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
AZ	3	18-08190	
CONNECTICUT			
CT	3	18-01425	Streiter v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	18-61960	Konig v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
IDAHO			
ID	1	18-00369	Medina v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	2	18-00146	Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc. et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	18-07821	Bridge House Corporation v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	18-01345	Bernstein et al v. Actavis Pharma, Inc. et al
MISSOURI WESTERN			
MOW	4	18-00653	Brand v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEBRASKA			
NE	8	18-00387	

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 938 Filed: 09/05/18 3 of 3. PageID #: 21373

Sarpy County, Nebraska v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

**NEW HAMPSHIRE** 

NH 1 18–00721 Berlin, NH, City of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN

PAW 2 18-01109 MSI CORPORATION v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et

al

TENNESSEE EASTERN

TNE 1 18-00194 Fox v. Pharma L.P. et al (TV1)

**TEXAS SOUTHERN** 

TXS 4 18–02889 Lawrence v Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 18-01231 Moore et al v. Purdue Pharma L. P. et al Opposed 9/4/18