# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

#### **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -44)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 812 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jul 19, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

### SCHEDULE CTO-44 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION		
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN					
CAN	3	18-03806	County of Sonoma, California v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
FLORIDA MIDDLE					
FLM	8	18-01558	Pasco County, Florida v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
FLORIDA SOUTHERN					
FLS	1	18-22580	The City of Coral Gables v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
INDIANA SOUTHERN					
INS	1	18-01925	CITY OF FISHERS, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al		
INS	1	18-01927	TOWN OF ZIONSVILLE, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al		
KANSAS					
KS	6	18-01179	Harvey, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
LOUISIANA EASTERN					
LAE	2	18-06343	Jefferson Parish, et al v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al		
LOUISIANA MIDDLE					
LAM	3	18-00658	Wiley v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al		
MARYLAND					

### Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 765 Filed: 07/19/18 3 of 3. PageID #: 18128

MD	1	18-01913	Harford County, Maryland et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MINNESOTA					
MN	0	18-01825	Minneapolis, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN					
MSS	3	18-00423	Neshoba County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
MISSOURI WESTERN					
MOW	4	18-00450	Lafayette County, Missouri v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
MOW	4	18-00495	Cass County, Missouri v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN					
NCE	7	18-00113	Columbus County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
RHODE ISLAND					
RI	1	18-00360	City of Providence, Rhode Island v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		