

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –27)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 581 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

May 08, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-27 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM	1	18-00418	Henry County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALM	3	18-00423	City of Tuskegee, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN	1	18-00606	Cleburne County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L P et al
ALN	1	18-00612	City of Weaver, Alabama v. Actavis LLC et al
ALN	2	18-00626	Jefferson County Alabama et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALN	7	18-00630	City of Tuscaloosa, Alabama v. Cardinal Health Inc et al
ARIZONA			
AZ	2	18-01201	Arizona School Alliance for Workers Compensation Incorporated v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
FLORIDA NORTHERN			
FLN	3	18-00867	LAKEVIEW CENTER, INC. v. MCKESSON CORPORATION et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	1	18-21608	Miami-Dade County, Florida v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	18-01662	ApolloMD Business Services, LLC v. Attain Med, Inc. et al
GEORGIA SOUTHERN			
GAS	4	18-00087	The Mayor and Alderman of the City of Savannah v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

LOUISIANA EASTERN

~~LAE 2-18-04165 Roach v. McKesson Corporation, et al~~ MASSACHUSETTS Opposed 4/30/18

MA 1 18-10799 Asbestos Workers Local 6 Health and Welfare Fund et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al

MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN

MSN 4 18-00091 Greenwood Leflore Hospital v. McKesson Corporation et al

NEW MEXICO

~~NM 4 18-00386 Balderas et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~ Opposed 5/7/18

OHIO SOUTHERN

OHS 2 18-00359 Harrison County Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

OREGON

OR 2 18-00674 Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

PAM 3 18-00857 City of Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

SOUTH CAROLINA

~~SC 6 18-01085 County of Greenville v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc et al~~ Opposed 5/7/18

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 18-00597 The City of Dunbar, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al