

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –90)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,426 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-90 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
COLORADO			
CO	1	19-01048	City of Brighton v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
GEORGIA SOUTHERN			
GAS	4	19-00086	Chatham County Hospital Authority, Georgia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MARYLAND			
MD	4	19-01162	The City of Annapolis, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 5/2/19
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-01077	City of Duluth, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			
MSN	4	19-00051	Patient's Choice Medical Center of Humphreys County, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	5	19-00030	Patients' Choice Medical Center of Claiborne, LLC v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
NEW MEXICO			
NM	1	19-00342	Board of County Commissioners of the County of Bernalillo v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NEW YORK WESTERN			
NYW	1	19-00485	City of Lackawanna, New York v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al

OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

OKN 4 19-00205 Board of County Commissioners of County of Tulsa,
The v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

~~OKW 5 19-00339 Mustang City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al~~ Opposed 5/2/19
~~OKW 5 19-00345 Midwest City City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al~~ Opposed 5/2/19
~~OKW 5 19-00351 Enid City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al~~ Opposed 5/2/19

VIRGINIA WESTERN

~~VAW 6 19-00021 City of Lexington, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~ Opposed 5/2/19
~~VAW 7 19-00302 Franklin County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~ Opposed 5/2/19

WISCONSIN WESTERN

WIW 3 19-00204 Bad River Band of Lake Superior Chippewa v. Watson
Laboratories, Inc. et al