# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

#### **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -87)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,373 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Apr 11, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel



## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

### SCHEDULE CTO-87 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<b>DIST</b>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
ARIZONA			
AZ AZ	4 4	19-00166 19-00167	Pima, County of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Tucson, City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
MINNESO	ГΑ		
MN	0	19-00875	Winona County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEW HAM	PSHIRE		
NH	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 AKOTA	19-00325 19-00326 19-00328 19-00329 19-00331 19-00333 19-00334	Strafford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Belknap County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Cheshire County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Belmont, NH, Town of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Claremont, NH, City of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Grafton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Rockingham County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Sullivan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19 Cass County, North Dakota et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
OKLAHOM	IA EASTE	RN	
OKE	6	<del>19-00100</del>	Pittsburg County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 4/10/19
OKLAHOM	IA NORTH	HERN	
<del>OKN</del>	4	<del>19-00171</del>	City of Owasso v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
OKLAHOM	IA WESTE	ERN	
OKW	5	<del>19-00280</del>	Yukon City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 4/10/19

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1539 Filed: 04/11/19 3 of 3. PageID #: 43941

### WISCONSIN WESTERN

WIW 3 19-00229 Bad River Band of Lake Superior Chippewa v. McKesson Corporation et al