

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –85)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,334 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Mar 28, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-85 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	3	19-08070	Navajo, County of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	1	19-00957	TOWN OF DANVILLE, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	7	19-00026	City of Whitesburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEW MEXICO			
NM	2	19-00208	Board of County Commissioners of the County of Otero, New Mexico v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NEW YORK WESTERN			
NYW	6	19-06186	City of Geneva, New York v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA NORTHERN			
OKN	4	19-00130	County Commission of Washington County, Oklahoma, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKN	4	19-00131	County Commission of Okmulgee County, Oklahoma, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKN	4	19-00132	County Commission of Rogers County, Oklahoma, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKN	4	19-00133	County Commission of Nowata County, Oklahoma, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKN	4	19-00134	County Commission of Creek County, Oklahoma, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKN	4	19-00136	County Commissioner of Mayes County, Oklahoma, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE	2	19-01018	CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
-----	---	----------	--

TENNESSEE WESTERN

TNW	2	19-02159	City of Memphis v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
-----	---	----------	--

WASHINGTON WESTERN

<del>WAW</del>	<del>2</del>	<del>19-00368</del>	<del>Snohomish County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al</del> Opposed 3/27/19
WAW	3	19-05173	City of Lakewood v. Purdue Pharma LP et al