## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -80)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,285 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Feb 21, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

## SCHEDULE CTO-80 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION	
ALABAMA MIDDLE				
ALM	2	19-00100	Autauga County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
FLORIDA SOUTHERN				
FLS	0	19-60305	The City of Pompano Beach, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
FLS	0	19-60313	The City of Miramar, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
FLS	0	19-60364	City of Coconut Creek, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
ILLINOIS NORTHERN				
ILN	1	<del>19-00811</del>	International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 150 et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 2/20/19	
KENTUCKY EASTERN				
KYE KYE	0 2	19-00014 19-00007	City of Grayson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al City of Florence v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
KYE	6	19-00038	Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
LOUISIANA EASTERN				
LAE	2	19-01048	Golden et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
LAE	2	19-01174	Kenner City v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	
LOUISIANA WESTERN				
LAW	5	19-00153	Parish of DeSoto v. Purdue Pharma L P et al	

MARYI AND Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1383 Filed: 02/21/19 3 of 3. PageID #: 38560					
MD	8	19-00336	County Commissioners of Charles County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MICHIGAN WESTERN					
MIW	1	19-00094	Dawsey v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MONTANA					
MT	4	19-00008	City of Great Falls et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
OHIO SOUTHERN					
OHS	2	19-00448	Enders v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
OKLAHOMA WESTERN					
OKW	5	19-00096	Cheyenne & Arapaho Tribes v. McKesson Corporation et al		
PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE					
PAM	3	19-00190	City of Nanticoke, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN					

WVS

WYOMING

WY

5

1

19-00084

19-00024

Greenbrier County Commission v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

Casper WY v. Purdue Pharma LP et al