UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -10)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 274 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Feb 22, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-10 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION		
ALABAMA MIDDLE					
ALM	1	18-00068	City of Enterprise, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALM	1	18-00073	City of Ozark, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALM	2	18-00070	Lowndes County, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al (JOINT ASSIGN)		
ALM	2	18-00071	City of Union Springs, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALABAMA NORTHERN					
ALN	4	18-00172	Cherokee County, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALN	5	18-00170	Morgan County, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALN	5	18-00173	City of Decatur, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALN	5	18-00174	City of Moulton, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALN	7	18-00169	Greene County, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
ALN	7	18-00199	Lamar County, Alabama et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al		
ALN	7	18-00200	Fayette County, Alabama et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al		
ALABAMA SOUTHERN					
ALS	1	18-00060	The Town of Butler, Alabama v. Endo Health Solutions, Inc., et al.		
ALS	2	18-00053	City of Marion, Alabama v. Actavis, LLC et al		
FLORIDA MIDDLE					
FLM	6	18-00164	The County of Osceola v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MARYLAND					

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 132 Filed: 02/22/18 3 of 3. PageID #: 729

MD	8	18-00377	Montgomery County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN					
NCE	4	18-00018	Pitt County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
SOUTH CAROLINA					
SC	4	18-00360	Dillon County South Carolina v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
SC	4	18-00362	Horry County South Carolina v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
TENNESSEE WESTERN					
TNW	1	18-01026	Crockett County, TN v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Coropration et al		