

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -76)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,231 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-76 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
COLORADO			
CO	1	19-00112	City of Thornton v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
CO	1	19-00113	Board of County Commissioners of the County of Jefferson, The v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
CO	1	19-00114	Board Of County Commissioners Of The Of The County Of Adams, The et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
MAINE			
ME	1	19-00014	CITY OF WATERVILLE v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	1	19-00017	CITY OF AUGUSTA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	1	19-00018	AROOSTOOK COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	1	19-00019	PENOBSCOT COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	1	19-00024	WASHINGTON COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	1	19-00025	SOMERSET COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	2	19-00012	ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	2	19-00013	CITY OF AUBURN v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	2	19-00020	SAGadahoc COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	2	19-00021	LINCOLN COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
ME	2	19-00022	YORK COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al Opposed 1/24/19
NEW MEXICO			
NM	1	19-00023	Board of County Commissioners of the County of McKinley v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
TEXAS SOUTHERN			

TXS	4	19-00114	County of Hardin v. Endo Health Solutions, Inc. et al	Opposed 1/23/19
TXS	4	19-00117	County of Newton v. Endo Health Solutions Inc. et al	Opposed 1/23/19

WYOMING

WY	1	19-00007	Sweetwater County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
----	---	----------	---------------------------------------------