# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

#### **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -70)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,178 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Dec 14, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

#### SCHEDULE CTO-70 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION		
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN					
CAS	3	18-02673	Pala Band of Mission Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
FLORIDA MIDDLE					
FLM	8	18-02861	City of Bradenton v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
FLORIDA SOUTHERN					
FLS	0	18-62830	City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
GEORGIA MIDDLE					
GAM	1	18-00221	CITY OF ALBANY GEORGIA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al		
GAM	1	18-00224	GRADY COUNTY GEORGIA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al		
GEORGIA NORTHERN					
GAN	2	18-00220	County of Fannin v. Rite Aid of Georgia, Inc. et al Opposed12/13/18		
INDIANA NORTHERN					
INN	1	18-00380	Blackford County, Indiana v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al		
INDIANA SOUTHERN					
INS	4	18-00198	CITY OF NEW ALBANY, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al		

### Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1182 Filed: 12/14/18 3 of 3. PageID #: 28743

#### LOUISIANA EASTERN

NCM 1 18-00983

LAE	2	<del>18-11486</del>	New Orleans City v. Purdue Pharma LP, et al Opposed12/13/18	
MARYLANI	)			
MD	8	18-03597	Commissioners of St. Mary's County, Maryland v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	
MICHIGAN EASTERN				
MIE	2	18-13645	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	
MISSOURI WESTERN				
MOW	4	<del>18-00932</del>	Tudhope et al v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al Opposed12/13/18	
NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE				

DAVIDSON COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA, L.P. et