

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –57)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,018 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-57 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	6	18-01554	City of Palm Bay v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLM	8	18-02236	Sarasota County Public Hospital District v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
INDIANA NORTHERN			
INN	1	18-00290	Portland City of et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
KANSAS			
KS	2	18-02497	Neosho County, Kansas, Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	5	18-00536	The Fiscal Court of Estill County v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
KYE	7	18-00107	Letcher County Fiscal Court v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	18-08687	St. Tammany Parish Government v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/3/18
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	4	18-11958	City of Worcester v. Purdue Pharma LP, et al Opposed 10/3/18
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	2	18-12938	CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF CANTON et al v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
MIE	4	18-12950	CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF CLINTON, MICHIGAN v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al

NEW YORK WESTERN

~~NYW 1-18-01018 A.M.H. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~

Opposed 10/2/18

UTAH

UT 2 18-00719 Lopez v. Purdue Pharma LP et al

VIRGINIA EASTERN

VAE 2 18-00485 City of Virginia Beach, et al v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al

WASHINGTON EASTERN

WAE 2 18-00285 Chelan County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 18-01284 The Town of Clendenin, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

WVS 2 18-01285 The City of Montgomery, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

WVS 2 18-01286 The Town of Sophia, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

WVS 2 18-01287 The Town of Whitesville, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

WVS 2 18-01290 The Gilmer County Commission v. Cardinal Health, Inc. et al