

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOM ATTORNEY GENERAL C	•	ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, LAHOMA,	) FILED SUPREME COURT STATE OF OKLAHOMA
Plaintiff,			MAY <b>2 4</b> 2019
v.			JOHN D. HADD <b>EN</b> CLERK
PURDUE PHARMA, L.P THE PURDUE FREDERIC	,	RDUE PHARMA, INC., and MPANY, INC.,	) )
Defendants/Appellan	ts,	•.	) )
-and-			) )
		SA, INC., CEPHALON, INC.,	Sup. Ct. Ca#1.1799 5
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ORTHO-McNEIL-INC., n/k/a JANSSEN JANSSEN PHARMACE PHARMACEUTICALS, ACTAVIS PLC, f/k/a PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ACTAVIS LLC, an WATSON PHARMA, INC.	Cleveland County Case No. CJ-2017-816  ) )		
Defendants,			) STATE OF OKLAHOMA S.S.
v.			) FILED
COMANCHE COUNTY,			MAY 24 2019
Appellee/Real Party	n Inter	est.	In the office of the
		PETITION IN ERROR	Court Clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS
CROSS PETT COUNTER-I	OR SU TION ETITI	PPLEMENTAL PETITION	
	I.	TRIAL COURT HISTORY	
COURT/TRIBUNAL: COUNTY:	Distri Cleve	ct Court eland	

JUDGE: NATURE OF CASE:		Honorable Thad Balkman State action asserting that opioid manufacturers created a public nuisance through their marketing and sale of opioid medications	
NAM	E OF PARTY OR PA	RTIES FILING THIS PETITION IN ERROR:	
	DUE PHARMA, L.P., PANY, INC.	PURDUE PHARMA, INC., and THE PURDUE FREDERICK	
THE	APPEAL IS BROUG	HT FROM:	
X	Appeal from o motion filed motion filed Appeal from Final Order of Ot (Specify Corpora Tax Review, Ban	e or Final order of District Court.  rder granting summary judgment or motion to dismiss where after October 1, 1993 (Accelerated procedure under Rule 1.36).  Revocation of Driver's License (Rule 1.21(b)).  ther Tribunal.  tion Commission, Insurance Department, Tax Commission, Court of king Board or Banking Commissioner, etc)  ler Appealable by Right.	
		II. TIMELINESS OF APPEAL	
1.	Date judgment, decr	ree or order appealed was filed: May 21, 2019	
2.	<i>If decision was take</i> parties:	n under advisement, date judgment, decree or order was mailed to	
3.	Does the judgment of Yes X N	or order on appeal dispose of <i>all</i> claims by and against <i>all</i> parties?	
	If not, did di § 994.	strict court direct entry of judgment in accordance with 12 O.S. 2001  Yes  X No	
	When was ti	nis done?	
4.		rder is not a final disposition, is it appealable because it is an Appealable by Right? YesX_ No	
5.	judgment or order is Plastics Co. v. Bart	applies, what is the <i>specific</i> statutory basis for determining the appealable? Post-judgment final order. 12 O.S. §953; <i>Central on Indus., Inc.</i> , 1991 OK 103, 818 P.2d 900. The Consent Judgment modify contains the functional equivalent of a 12 O.S. §994(A).	

6.	Were any post-trial moti	ons filed? N/A <u>Date Filed</u>	Date Disposed		
7.	This Petition is filed by:  X Delivery to Clerk, Mailing to Clerk by		eceipt Requested on (date)		
III. RELATED OR PRIOR APPEALS					
List al	l prior appeals involving	same parties or same trial court	proceeding:		
Sup. C	Ct. Case No. 117,831 (orig	ginal action, jurisdiction declined	d)		
		n (case number not yet known) f , City of Enid, City of Midwest	For Appellants against City of City, and City of Broken Arrow.		
List al	l related appeals involvin	g same issues:			
		n (case number not yet known) f n, City of Enid, City of Midwest	For Appellants against City of City, and City of Broken Arrow.		
	IV.	SETTLEMENT CONFER	ENCE		
~ ~		te in an attempted settlement of	the appeal by predecisional		
V. RECORD ON APPEAL					
X 	will be necessary for A Narrative Statement trial, which was not to Record is concurrent.	ordered because no record was this appeal.	osing Argument portion of the jury (Driver's License Appeals,		
	VI. JUDGMENT,	DECREE OR ORDER APPE	ALED – EXHIBIT "A"		
A cer	tified copy of the Order	Regarding Consent Judgment	as to The Purdue Defendants and		

A certified copy of the Order Regarding Consent Judgment as to The Purdue Defendants and Denying Comanche County's Motion to Intervene as Moot, filed May 21, 2019, is attached as Exhibit A.

## VII. SUMMARY OF CASE – EXHIBIT "B"

A brief summary of the case is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

#### VIII. ISSUES RAISED ON APPEAL – EXHIBIT "C"

The issues proposed to be raised on appeal are attached hereto as Exhibit C.

#### IX. NAME OF COUNSEL OR PARTY IF PRO SE

#### ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANTS

SANFORD C. COATS, OBA #18268
HARVEY D. ELLIS, OBA #2694
JOSHUA D. BURNS, OBA #32967
CROWE & DUNLEVY
A Professional Corporation
Braniff Building
324 North Robinson Avenue, Suite 100
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
(405) 235-7700
(405) 239-6651 (Facsimile)
sandy.coats@crowedunlevy.com
harvey.ellis@crowedunlevy.com
joshua.burns@crowedunlevy.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEES

MATTHEW J. SILL, OBA #21547 HARRISON C. LUJAN, OBA #30154 FULMER SILL LAW GROUP P.O. Box 2448 1101 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 102 Oklahoma City, OK 73103 (405) 510-0077 msill@fulmersill.com hlujan@fulmersill.com

JOHN P. ZELBST, OBA #9991 ZELBST, HOLMES & BUTLER 411 SW 6th St. Lawton, OK 73501 (580) 248-4844 (580) 248-6916 (Facsimile) zelbst@zelbst.com

REAGAN E. BRADFORD, OBA #22072 THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PC 100 E. California Ave., Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73104 Reagan.Bradford®LanierLawFirm.com

W. MARK LANIER, TX BAR #11934600 THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PC 6810 FM 1960 West Houston, TX 77069 (713) 659-5200 (713) 659-2204 (Facsimile) WML®LanierLawFirm.com

DATE: May 24, 2019.

Verified by:

SANFORD C. COATS, OBA #18268 HARVEY D. ELLIS, OBA #2694 JOSHUA D. BURNS, OBA #32967 CROWE & DUNLEVY A Professional Corporation **Braniff Building** 324 North Robinson Avenue, Suite 100 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 235-7700 (405) 239-6651 (Facsimile) sandy.coats@crowedunlevy.com harvey.ellis@crowedunlevy.com joshua.burns@crowedunlevy.com

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANTS PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., PURDUE PHARMA, INC. AND THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY, INC.

#### X. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING TO ALL PARTIES

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Petition in Error was mailed this 24th day of May, 2019, by depositing it in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Honorable Thad Balkman Cleveland County Courthouse 200 S. Peters Ave. Norman, Oklahoma 73069

WHITTEN BURRAGE

Michael Burrage Reggie Whitten 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma Robert Winn Cutler

NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP

Bradley E. Beckworth Jeffrey J. Angelovich

Lloyd "Trey" Nolan Duck, III

Andrew Pate Lisa Baldwin

Brooke A. Churchman

Nathan B. Hall

512 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 200

Oklahoma City, OK 73102 bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com

tduck@nixlaw.com dpate@nixlaw.com

OKLAHOMA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY

**GENERAL** Mike Hunter Abby Dillsaver Ethan A. Shaner 313 NE 21st St

Oklahoma City, OK 73105 abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP

Ross Leonoudakis

Cody Hill

3600 North Capital of Texas Highway

Suite B350

Austin, TX 78746

winncutler@nixlaw.com

rossl@nixlaw.com

codyhill@nixlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

Glenn Coffee

915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102

gcoffee@glenncoffee.com

lbaldwin@nixlaw.com bchurchman@nixlaw.com nhall@nixlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma Larry D. Ottaway

ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC

Benjamin H. Odom John H. Sparks Michael W. Ridgeway David L. Kinney HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072 odomb@odomsparks.com sparksj@odomsparks.com ridgewaym@odomsparks.com kinneyd@odomsparks.com Counsel for Defendants Janssen

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals. Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals. Inc.

#### O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Charles C. Lifland Wallace Moore Allan Sabrina H. Strong 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 clifland@omm.com tallan@omm.com sstrong@omm.com Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/

**GABLEGOTWALS** Robert G. McCampbell Nicholas V. Merkley Leasa M. Stewart

Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

FOLIART, HUFF, OTTAWAY & BOTTOM

Amy Sherry Fischer Andrew Bowman Jordyn L. Cartmell Kaitlyn Dunn

201 Robert S. Kerr Avenue, 12th Floor

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

larryottaway@oklahomacounsel.com amyfischer@oklahomacounsel.com andrewbowman@oklahomacounsel.com jordyncartmell@oklahomacounsel.com kaitlyndunn@oklahomacounsel.com

Counsel for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a Janssen

Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen

Pharmaceuticals. Inc.

Stephen D. Brody

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

David K. Roberts 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 sbrody@omm.com droberts2@omm.com Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Mark A. Fiore Rebecca Hillver Evan K. Jacobs

Jeffrey A. Curran Kyle D. Evans Ashley E. Quinn One Leadership Square, 15th Fl. 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102 RMcCampbell@Gablelaw.com NMerkley@Gablelaw.com LStewart@Gblelaw.com JCurran@gablelaw.com KEvans@gablelaw.com AQuinn@Gablelaw.com Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA. Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Collie T. James, IV 600 Anton Blvd., Suite 1800 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 collie.james@morganlewis.com Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Steven A. Luxton
1111 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004
steven.luxton@morganlewis.com
Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc.,
Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson
Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and
Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson
Pharma, Inc.

FULMER SILL LAW GROUP MATTHEW J. SILL HARRISON C. LUJAN P.O. Box 2448 1101 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 102 Oklahoma City, OK 73103 1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
steven.reed@morganlewis.com
harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com
mark.fiore@morganlewis.com
rebeccahillyer@morganlewis.com
evan.jacobs@morganlewis.com
Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva
Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson
Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis
Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
Brian M. Ercole
Melissa M. Coates
Martha A. Leibell
200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300
Miami, FL 33131
brian.ercole@morganlewis.com
melissa.coates@morganlewis.com
martha.leibell@morganlewis.com
Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva
Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson
Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis
Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
Tinos Diamantatos
77 W. Wacker Dr.
Chicago, IL 60601
tinos.diamantatos@morganlewis.com
Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva
Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson
Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis
Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
Nancy L. Patterson
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000
Houston, TX 77002
nancy.patterson@morganlewis.com
Counsel for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva
Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson
Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis
Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

msill@fulmersill.com hlujan@fulmersill.com Counsel for Comanche County

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PC REAGAN E. BRADFORD 100 E. California Ave., Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73104 Reagan.Bradford@LanierLawFirm.com Counsel for Comanche County ZELBST, HOLMES & BUTLER JOHN P. ZELBST 411 SW 6th St. Lawton, OK 73501 zelbst@zelbst.com Counsel for Comanche County

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PC W. MARK LANIER 6810 FM 1960 West Houston, TX 77069 WML@LanierLawFirm.com Counsel for Comanche County

I further certify that a copy of the Petition in Error was mailed to or filed in the Office of the Court Clerk of the District Court of Cleveland County on the 24th day of May, 2019:

Marilyn Williams Cleveland County Court Clerk Cleveland County Courthouse 200 S. Peters Ave. Norman, Oklahoma 73069

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,	) ) )
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	) Case No.: CJ-2017-816
	) Judge Thad Balkman
(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;	)
(2) PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;	)
(3) THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;	_
(4) TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;	STATE OF OKLAHOMA } S.S.
(5) CEPHALON, INC.;	) COUNTY S.S.
(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;	FILED
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC:	MAY 2 + 22
(8) ORTHO-McNEIL-JANSSEN	MAY 2 1 2019
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a	) In the office of the
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS	Court Clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS
(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.,	) WILLIAMS
n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;	)
(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, I/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,	)
f/k/2 ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON	)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;	)
(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;	)
(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and	)
(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,	· ·
f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,	Į.
Yacan lands	)
Defendants.	)

# ORDER REGARDING CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO THE PURDUE DEFENDANTS AND DENYING COMANCHE COUNTY'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AS MOOT

This matter comes before the Court upon Comanche County's Motion to Intervene filed April 15, 2019 ("Comanche County Motion to Intervene"). Upon review of the Comanche County Motion to Intervene, the responses in opposition filed by (a) Plaintiff, State of Oklahoma, ex rel., Mike Hunter, Attorney General of Oklahoma, on April 26, 2019 ("Plaintiff State Response"), and (b) the Defendants, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick

Company Inc., on May 16, 2019 ("Purdue Defendants Response"), the reply to Plaintiff State Response filed by Comanche County, on May 1, 2019, and pursuant to Rule 4(h) of the Rules for the District Courts of Oklahoma, the Court finds and holds as follows:

- 1. Comanche County (The "Putative Intervenor"), is not party to, bound by, or otherwise subject to the terms of the "Consent Judgment as to the Purdue Defendants" entered by this Court on March 26, 2019.
- 2. Putative Intervenor or any other Oklahoma political subdivision is not a party to, bound by, or otherwise subject to the terms of the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment unless it elects, for itself, to opt-in to the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms prescribed by the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment. The Comanche County Motion to Intervene is denied as moot. See also 04/25/19 Order Regarding Consent Judgment as to the Purdue Defendants and Denying City of Oklahoma City's, City of Lawton's, City of Enid's, City of Midwest City's and City of Broken Arrow's Amended Joint Motion to Intervene as Moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 21st day of May, 2019

THAD BALKMAN, District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court would note that no other named Defendants filed a response to the Comanche County Motion to Intervene.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2019, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument was emailed to the following:

Michael Burrage Reggie Whitten

Mike Hunter Attorney General for State of OK Abby Dillsaver Ethan Shaner

Bradley Beckworth Jeffrey Angelovich

Glenn Coffee

Attorneys for Plaintiff

John H. Sparks Benjamin H. Odom

Charles C. Lifland Jennifer Cardelus

Stephen Brody

Attorneys for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Janssen

Sanford C. Coats

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Hayden A. Coleman Paul LaFata

Patrick J. Fitzgerald R. Ryan Stoll

Attorneys for Defendants Purdue Pharma

Robert G. McCampbell Travis V. Jett

Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Jeremy A. Menkowitz

Brian Ercole

Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals

Matthew Sill Harrison Lujan

John Zelbst

Reagan Bradford

W. Mark Lanier

Attorneys for Movant Comanche County

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE POREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT AND COMPLETE COPY OF THE INSTRUMENT HEREWITH SET OUT AS IT APPEARS ON RECORD IN THE COURT CLERKS OFFICE OF CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOM

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS

MARILYN WILLIAMS COURT CLE

#### **EXHIBIT "B" -- SUMMARY OF THE CASE**

This action was brought by the State of Oklahoma (the "State") against thirteen pharmaceutical companies, including the Appellants ("Purdue"), alleging that these manufacturers fraudulently marketed their opioid medications in Oklahoma. According to the State, this marketing led to widespread opioid abuse which, in turn, caused the State to incur a broad array of damages, including healthcare expenses and law enforcement and criminal justice expenses, inter alia. The State also sought broad injunctive and equitable relief to ameliorate alleged harm throughout the state. On March 26, 2019, Purdue and the State entered into a Settlement Agreement, and the district court entered a detailed Consent Judgment dismissing Purdue from this case with prejudice. The claims against the remaining manufacturers are proceeding to trial. On April 15, 2019 nonparty Comanche County (Appellee herein) moved to intervene in the case, asserting that the Consent Judgment was unclear as to its applicability to political subdivisions, and seeking to assert an independent claim against Appellants, among other things. Both the Appellants and the State filed separate responses objecting to the intervention. The only issue before the district court was procedural in nature -- whether to permit the nonparty movant to intervene. A similar motion to intervene had previously been filed by certain nonparty Oklahoma cities, and on April 25, 2019, the district court entered an order on that separate motion, purporting to clarify or modify the March 26, 2019 Consent Judgment, and denying that motion as "moot." On May 21, 2019, the district court entered a similar order on Appellee nonparty Comanche County's motion to intervene, adopting the same reasoning and holding as in its April 25, 2019 Order, to which it referred. Appellants have separately appealed the April 25, 2019 Order as beyond the district court's authority under 12 O.S. §2024 and a denial of due process. Appellants hereby appeal from the related companion order as to Appellee nonparty Comanche County on the same grounds.

#### EXHIBIT "C" -- ISSUES TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL

- 1. Whether the lower court erred as a matter of law and exercised unauthorized jurisdiction where:
  - a. Appellants/Defendants ("Purdue") and the State entered a Settlement Agreement under which the lower court entered a Consent Judgment dismissing the State's claims against Purdue with prejudice;
  - b. Nonparty Appellee filed a procedural motion to intervene questioning the scope of the Consent Judgment and seeking to intervene to assert an independent claim from the State against Purdue;
  - c. The only issue before the trial court was whether Appellee's Motion to Intervene should be granted, and both the State and Purdue opposed the Motion to Intervene; and
  - d. The trial court instead purported to clarify or modify the Consent Judgment, which was not requested by any party or the proposed intervenor in the motion to intervene, and denied the motion to intervene as "moot."
- 2. Whether the lower court erred in determining that Appellee—"or any other Oklahoma political subdivision—is not a party to, bound by, or otherwise subject to the terms of" the Consent Judgment "unless it elects, for itself, to opt-in to" the Consent Judgment, where the plain language of the Consent Judgment and applicable law demonstrates that the "Releasors" include "the State and the Attorney General and/or any political subdivision of the State on whose behalf the Attorney General possesses, or obtains, the authority to bind."