

STATE OF OKLAHOMA 3 S.S.

CLEVELAND COUNTY FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

APR 2 4 2019

In the office of the Court Clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,

Case No. CJ-2017-816

Plaintiff,

_ . __ .

v.

Judge Thad Balkman

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

William C. Hetherington Special Discovery Master

Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF</u> CHRISTOPHER J. RUHM, PhD, AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

THIS DOCUMENT WAS FILED IN ITS ENTIRETY APRIL 23, 2019, UNDER SEAL PER COURT ORDER DATED APRIL 16, 2018

MOTION

The Defendants move this Court for an order excluding certain testimony of the State's purported expert witness, Christopher J. Ruhm, PhD, pursuant to 12 O.S. §§ 2702-2705. Professor Ruhm's testimony relies on opinions provided by other State witnesses. Some of those opinions, however, are unreliable and otherwise inadmissible. Those opinions thus provide no reliable basis for Professor Ruhm's testimony. Professor Ruhm should thus be barred from offering any opinion that relies on other experts' inadmissible testimony. The Defendants therefore respectfully request that their Motion to Exclude be granted, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

In support of this Motion, the Defendants show the following:

I. ARGUMENT¹

Professor Ruhm, one of the State's abatement witnesses, purports to have calculated the overall cost of the State's abatement plan. He did so by plugging in cost estimates for specific abatement programs provided by various of the State's other expert witnesses. But many of those other witnesses' opinions are inadmissible. And insofar as Professor Ruhm relies on other experts' inadmissible opinions, his testimony must be excluded too.

An expert opinion is admissible only if, among other prerequisites, it is "based upon sufficient facts or data" and is "the product of reliable principles and methods." 12 O.S. § 2702; accord

¹ Because Oklahoma's statutes governing expert testimony, 12 O.S. §§ 2702, 2703, 2704, and 2705, parallel the language of Federal Rules of Evidence 702, 703, 704, and 705 in all relevant respects, both state and federal jurisprudence on the subject is instructive. See, e.g., Nelson v. Enid Med. Assocs., Inc., 2016 OK 69, ¶¶10-61, 376 P.3d 212, 217-31; Christian v. Gray, 2003 OK 10, ¶9, 65 P.3d 591, 598-99.

Nelson, 2016 OK 69, ¶13, 376 P.3d at 217. An expert opinion that relies on another expert's unqualified, unreliable, or otherwise inadmissible testimony is not based on reliable facts, data, principles, or methods. As a result, an expert opinion that relies on an inadmissible expert opinion must be excluded as unreliable too. See, e.g., Gopalratnam v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 877 F.3d 771, 788-89 (7th Cir. 2017); Sims v. Kia Motors of Am., Inc., 839 F.3d 393, 404-06 (5th Cir. 2016); Rink v. Cheminova, Inc., 400 F.3d 1286, 1294 (11th Cir. 2005); Hanson v. Colgate-Palmolive Co., 353 F. Supp. 3d 1273, 1288-89 (S.D. Ga. 2018).

Professor Ruhm's testimony is based on the opinions of various other State witnesses. At his deposition, for example, Professor Ruhm testified that he had relied on information provided to him by State experts Jessica Hawkins, Terri White, and Julie Croff. Ex. A, Mar. 28, 2019 Deposition of Christopher Ruhm, PhD ("Ruhm Dep.") 79:19-80:7. He also stated that he had relied on information provided by State expert Renzi Stone's company. *Id.* at 175:13-177:3. And he acknowledged that he had met with three of the State's other expert witnesses, i.e., Jason Beaman, James Gibson, and Andrew Kolodny. *Id.* at 54:21-23.

Unfortunately for Professor Ruhm, much of those other experts' testimony is inadmissible and must be excluded for the reasons detailed in the Defendants' *Daubert* motions specific to those other experts. Thus, under the black letter evidence rules discussed above, Professor Ruhm's testimony likewise must be excluded to the extent that he relies on those other experts' inadmissible testimony.

II. CONCLUSION

For all of these reasons, the Court should grant the Defendants' Motion to Exclude and issue an order barring Professor Ruhm from relying in any way on the inadmissible or excluded testimony of any other expert.

Dated: April 23, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Benjamin H. Odom, OBA No. 10917

John H. Sparks, OBA No. 15661

Michael W. Ridgeway, OBA No. 15657

David L. Kinney, OBA No. 10875

ODOM, SPARKS & JONES, PLLC

Suite 140

HiPoint Office Building

2500 McGee Drive

Norman, OK 73072

Telephone: (405) 701-1863 Facsimile: (405) 310-5394

Email: odomb@odomsparks.com Email: sparksj@odomsparks.com Email: ridgewaym@odomsparks.com

Email: kinneyd@odomsparks.com

Larry D. Ottaway, OBA No. 6816 Amy Sherry Fischer, OBA No. 16651 Andrew Bowman, OBA No. 22071

Jordyn L. Cartmell, OBA No. 31043

Kaitlyn Dunn, OBA No. 32770

FOLIART, HUFF, OTTAWAY & BOTTOM

12th Floor

201 Robert S. Kerr Avenue

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone: (405) 232-4633 Facsimile: (405) 232-3462

Email: larryottaway@oklahomacounsel.com Email: amyfischer@oklahomacounsel.com Email: andrewbowman@oklahomacounsel.com

Email: jordyncartmell@oklahomacounsel.com Email: kaitlyndunn@oklahomacounsel.com

Of Counsel:

Charles C. Lifland
Wallace Moore Allan
Sabrina H. Strong
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
400 S. Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
Email: clifland@omm.com
Email: tallan@omm.com
Email: sstrong@omm.com

Stephen D. Brody
David Roberts
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
1625 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 383-5300
Facsimile: (202) 383-5414
Email: sbrody@omm.com

Email: droberts2@omm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC. N/K/A JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., AND ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. N/K/A/ JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 2005(D), and by agreement of the parties, this is to certify on April 23, 2019, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing has been served via electronic mail, to the following:

Mike Hunter

Attorney General for

The State of Oklahoma

Abby Dillsaver

Ethan Shaner

General Counsel to

The Attorney General

313 NE 21st

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Telephone:

(405)521-3921

Facsimile:

(405) 521-6246

Email: mike.hunter@oag.ok.gov

Email: abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov

Email: ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov

Michael Burrage

Reggie Whitten J. Revell Parrish

WHITTEN BURRAGE

Suite 300

512 North Broadway Avenue

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone:

(405) 516-7800

Facsimile:

(405) 516-7859

Email: mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com

Email: rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com

Email: rparrish@whittenburragelaw.com

Bradley Beckworth Jeffrey Angelovich Lloyd Nolan Duck, III **Andrew Pate** Lisa Baldwin Brooke A. Churchman

Nathan Hall

NIX, PATTERSON, LLP

Suite 200

512 North Broadway Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone:

(405) 516-7800

Facsimile:

(405) 516-7859

Email: bbeckworth@nixlaw.com Email: jangelovich@nixlaw.com

Email: tduck@nixlaw.com Email: dpate@nixlaw.com Email: lbaldwin@nixlaw.com Email: bchurchman@nixlaw.com

Email: nhall@nixlaw.com

Robert Winn Cutler Ross Leonoudakis Cody Hill NIX, PATTERSON, LLP Suite B350 3600 North Capital of Texas Highway Austin, TX 78746

Telephone:

(512) 328-5333

Facsimile:

(512) 328-5335

Email: winncutler@nixlaw.com

Email: rossl@nixlaw.com Email: codyhill@nixlaw.com

Glenn Coffee

GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 915 North Robinson Avenue

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone:

(405) 601-1616

Email: gcoffee@glenncoffee.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Sanford C. Coats

Joshua D. Burns

CROWE & DUNLEVY, PC

Suite 100

Braniff Building

324 North Robinson Avenue

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone:

(405) 235-7700

Facsimile:

(405) 272-5269

Email: sandy.coats@crowedunlevy.com Email: joshua.burns@crowedunlevy.com

Of Counsel:

Sheila Birnbaum

Mark S. Cheffo

Hayden A. Coleman

Paul A. LaFata

Lindsay N. Zanello

Bert L. Wolff

Mara C. Cusker Gonzalez

Jenna C. Newmark

DECHERT, LLP

Three Bryant Park

1095 Avenue of Americas

New York, NY 10036-6797

Telephone:

(212) 698-3500

Facsimile:

(212) 698-3599

Email: sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com
Email: mark.cheffo@dechert.com

Email: hayden.coleman@dechert.com

Email: paul.lafata@dechert.com

Email: lindsay.zanello@dechert.com

Email: bert.wolff@dechert.com

Email: maracusker.gonzalez@dechert.com

Email: jenna.newmark@dechert.com

Benjamin F. McAnaney

Hope S. Freiwald

Will W. Sachse

Chelsea M. Nichols

Cory A. Ward

Meghan R. Kelly

Nicolas A. Novy

DECHERT, LLP

2929 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19104

Telephone: (215) 994-4000 Facsimile: (215) 655-2043

Email: benjamin.mcananey@dechert.com

Email: hope.freiwald@dechert.com Email: will.sachse@dechert.com Email: chelsea.nichols@dechert.com Email: cory.ward@dechert.com Email: meghan.kelly@dechert.com Email: nicolas.novy@dechert.com

Erik W. Snapp DECHERT, LLP **Suite 3400** 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601

Telephone: (212)849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 Email: erik.snapp@dechert.com

Jonathan S. Tam Jae Hong Lee DECHERT, LLP 16th Floor One Bush Street San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 262-4500 Facsimile: (415) 262-4555

Email: jonathan.tam@dechert.com

Email: jae.lee@dechert.com

William W. Oxley DECHERT, LLP Suite 4900 US Bank Tower 633 West 5th Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 808-5760 Facsimile: (213) 808-5760

Email: william.oxley@dechert.com

Lindsey B. Cohan DECHERT, LLP **Suite 2010** 300 West 6th Street Austin, TX 78701-2961

Telephone: (212) 394-3000 Facsimile: (512) 394-3001

Email: lindsey.cohan@dechert.com

Britta E. Stanton

John D. Volney

John T. Cox, III

Eric W. Pinker

Jared D. Eisenberg

Jervonne D. Newsome

Elizabeth Yvonne Ryan

Andrea MeShonn Evans Brown

Ruben A. Garcia

Russell G. Herman

Samuel B. Hardy, IV

David S. Coale

Alan Dabdoub

LYNN PINKER COX & HURST, LLP

Suite 2700

2100 Ross Avenue

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 981-3800 Facsimile: (214) 981-3839

Email: bstanton@lynnllp.com

Email: jvolney@lynnllp.com email: tcox@lynnllp.com

Email: epinker@lynnllp.com

Email: jeisenberg@lynnllp.com

Email: jnewsome@lynnllp.com

Email: eryan@lynnllp.com

Email: sbrown@lynnllp.com

Email: rgarcia@lynnllp.com

Email: rherman@lynnllp.com Email: shardy@lynnllp.com

Email: dcoale@lynnllp.com

Email: adabdoub@lynnllp.com

Robert S. Hoff WIGGIN & DANA, LLP 265 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510

Telephone:

(203) 498-4400

Facsimile:

(203) 363-7676

Email: rhoff@wiggin.com

Michael T. Cole NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP Suite 600 151 Meeting Street Charleston, SC 29401

Telephone: Facsimile:

(843) 853-5200

(843) 722-8700

Email: mike.cole@nelsonmullins.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS PURDUE PHARMA, LP, PURDUE PHARMA, INC., AND THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY, INC.

Robert G. McCampbell

Travis V. Jett

Ashley E. Quinn

Nicholas V. Merkley

Leasa M. Stewart

GableGotwals

15th Floor

One Leadership Square

211 North Robinson

Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255

Telephone: (4

(405) 235-5567

Email: rmccampbell@gablelaw.com

Email: tjett@gablelaw.com Email: aquinn@gablelaw.com

Email: nmerkley@gablelaw.com Email: lstewart@gablelaw.com

Of Counsel:

Steven A. Reed

Rebecca J. Hillyer

Evan J. Jacobs

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP

1701 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2321

Telephone:

(215) 963-5000

Email: steven.reed@morganlewis.com Email: rebecca.hillyer@morganlewis.com Email: evan.jacobs@morganlewis.com

Harvey Bartle, IV

Mark A. Fiore

Morgan, Lewis& Bockius, LLP

502 Carnegie Center

Princeton, NJ 08540-6241

Telephone: (609) 919-6600

Email: harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com Email: mark.fiore@morganlewis.com

Brian M. Ercole

Melissa M. Coates

Martha A. Leibell

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP

Suite 5300

200 South Biscayne Boulevard

Miami, FL 33131

Email: brian.ercole@morganlewis.com Email: melissa.coates@morganlewis.com Email: martha.leibell@morganlewis.com ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS CEPHALON, INC., TEVA
PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.,
ACTAVIS, LLC, AND ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.
F/K/A WATSON
PHARMA, INC.

Benjamin H. Odom, OBA No. 10917
John H. Sparks, OBA No. 15661
Michael W. Ridgeway, OBA No. 15657
David L. Kinney, OBA No. 10875
ODOM, SPARKS & JONES, PLLC
Suite 140
HiPoint Office Building
2500 McGee Drive
Norman, OK 73072

Telephone: (405) 701-1863 Facsimile: (405) 310-5394

Email: odomb@odomsparks.com Email: sparksj@odomsparks.com Email: ridgewaym@odomsparks.com Email: kinneyd@odomsparks.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICA, INC. N/K/A
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
AND ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. N/K/A/
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

EXHIBIT A

[FILED UNDER SEAL]

```
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY
 1
 2
                        STATE OF OKLAHOMA
 3
     STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.,
     MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL
 4
     OF OKLAHOMA,
          Plaintiff,
                                            No. CJ-2017-816
 5
     vs.
          PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.,
 6
     (1)
          PURDUE PHARMA, INC.,
     (2)
 7
     (3)
          THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;
      (4)
          TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;
     (5)
         CEPHALON, INC.;
 8
      (6)
         JOHNSON & JOHNSON;
 9
     (7)
         JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;
     (8)
          ORTHO-McNEIL-JANSSEN
     PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a
10
     JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;
11
     (9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.;
     n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;
12
     (10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,
     f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON
     PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;
13
     (11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;
     (12) ACTAVIS LLC; and
14
     (13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.;
     f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.;
15
          Defendants.
16
17
18
        VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CHRISTOPHER RUHM, PhD
19
                TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS
          ON MARCH 28, 2019, BEGINNING AT 9:02 A.M.
                   IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
20
21
22
     REPORTED BY:
23
     Lacy Antle, CSR, RPR
24
     Job No. 3257456
     Pages 1 - 291
25
                                                     Page 1
```

1	mathematical error I would correct, or where they	10:03:42
2	would give me some initial set of numbers with a	10:03:46
3	basis, it might be a number of people in a group who	10:03:49
4	were going to receive some kind of program services	10:03:54
5	and then they would inform me that that number had	10:03:58
6	changed and I would put in the new number and do the	10:04:01
7	calculations with the new number.	10:04:05
8	Q With the additional information provided	10:04:07
9	by them, correct?	10:04:08
10	A Yes, exactly.	10:04:10
11	Q Outside of instances where there were	10:04:11
12	mathematical errors or you were provided additional	10:04:13
13	information by them, any other instance in which you	10:04:17
14	altered or changed in any way the information that	10:04:23
15	was provided to you?	10:04:27
16	A Not that I recall.	10:04:32
17	Q Okay. How was that information provided	10:04:33
18	to you, and by "how" I mean over the phone, in	10:04:37
19	person, in writing?	10:04:40
20	A So ultimately what I'm relying on are the	10:04:43
21	information in all of these exhibits, and that would	10:04:49
22	have been provided to me most commonly by Jessica	10:04:50
23	Hawkins and, yeah, that could have been in a variety	10:04:54
24	of forms.	10:04:59
25	Q And tell me what you mean by "a variety of	10:05:01
•		Page 53

1	forms," and I'd like to exhaust the list.	10:05:03
2	A Well	10:05:06
3	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:05:07
4	THE WITNESS: I think it could have	10:05:07
5	been by phone, it could have been by e-mail, we did	10:05:10
6	have some in-person meetings, but I don't recall if	10:05:16
7	any information was provided that way.	10:05:21
8	Q (BY MR. BRODY) How many times did you meet	10:05:25
9	with Jessica Hawkins in person?	10:05:26
10	A I don't recall. It was not a lot, you	10:05:31
11	know, probably between one and three times.	10:05:33
12	Q Did you come to Oklahoma for those	10:05:37
13	meetings?	10:05:39
14	A Yes.	10:05:39
15	Q Did you meet with anybody else while you	10:05:40
16	were in Oklahoma?	10:05:41
17	A Well, certainly, I mean, I met, I believe	10:05:44
18	once with Terri White. Obviously I met with	10:05:47
19	attorneys. I've met with some of the other experts	10:05:52
20	involved in this case.	10:05:57
21	Q Which other experts have you met with?	10:05:59
22	A Jim Gibson, James Gibson, Andrew Kolodny,	10:06:03
23	Jason Beaman.	10:06:08
24	Q Anyone else?	10:06:10
25	A I don't recall meeting anybody else.	10:06:12
		Page 54

1	Q Why did you meet with Dr. Kolodny?	10:06:15
2	A There were meetings so I don't think I	10:06:23
3	met with him for any purpose related to my work.	10:06:28
4	There were times I was in Oklahoma where he was here	10:06:32
5	as well.	10:06:35
6	Q Okay. Why did you meet with Dr. Beaman?	10:06:37
7	A Same answer.	10:06:44
8	Q Why did you meet with Dr. Gibson?	10:06:46
9	A So I think the same answer, in terms of	10:06:52
10	our meetings. We did have additional communication,	10:06:54
11	often by phone, about issues in this case, and I	10:07:01
12	don't know what's covered under privilege, so I may	10:07:08
13	need some guidance there on what's privileged and	10:07:12
14	what's not.	10:07:17
15	Q Let me ask you this, were any attorneys	10:07:17
16	for the state involved in your communications with	10:07:21
17	Dr. Gibson?	10:07:23
18	A Yes, I mean, as a general well, unless	10:07:27
19	so the rule was in all cases attorneys should be	10:07:33
20	well, no, okay. With any in general, yes,	10:07:36
21	there were some phone conversations where I believe	10:07:44
22	attorneys were not on the phone.	10:07:46
23	Q Okay. Let me ask you just as a general	10:07:49
24	matter, why did you have a need to communicate with	10:07:52
25	Dr. Gibson in connection with the expert work you	10:08:01
		Page 55

1	calculations that are shown in Table 1, correct?	10:49:21
2	A Well, what I've shown you here is the	10:49:23
3	specific description of the program or service and	10:49:25
4	then I've shown you the first year of cost. I	10:49:27
5	haven't shown you the basis for the cost here, I've	10:49:30
6	just shown you	10:49:32
7	Q And my question was the basis for the cost	10:49:33
8	is shown in the exhibits that are referenced in the	10:49:34
9	second column of Table 1, correct?	10:49:38
10	A That's correct.	10:49:40
11	Q All right. And then if we go to page 9,	10:49:40
12	we see the indication, "Abatement costs by major	10:49:47
13	cost category and year," and it runs years 2019	10:49:51
14	through 2048, correct?	10:49:57
15	A Correct.	10:50:00
16	Q And the total amount is highest for 2019	10:50:01
17	and then it remains relatively constant from 2020	10:50:10
18	through 2048, correct?	10:50:14
19	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:50:17
20	THE WITNESS: I'm not "relatively	10:50:21
21	constant," I'm not sure what you mean by that.	10:50:23
22		
		Page 78

1	A That looks like correct at a quick glance,	10:50:48
2	yes.	10:50:50
3	Q Did you do any work in this case to	10:50:51
4	evaluate the extent to which the need for different	10:50:56
5	components of the plan would be minimized or	10:51:01
6	eliminated over the passage of time?	10:51:09
7	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:51:14
8	THE WITNESS: Can you clarify or repeat	10:51:15
9	the question?	10:51:17
10	Q (BY MR. BRODY) Sure. The dollar figures	10:51:18
11	remain fairly constant from 2020 to 2048, correct?	10:51:20
12	A Yes.	10:51:24
13	Q And what I want to know is, how did you	10:51:25
14	did you undertake yourself any work or analysis to	10:51:33
15	decide that those dollar figures should remain	10:51:38
16	constant through 2048?	10:51:41
17	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:51:45
18	THE WITNESS: No.	10:51:46
19	Q (BY MR. BRODY) All right. Who, if anybody,	10:51:47
20	made that determination?	10:51:50
21	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:51:51
22	THE WITNESS: So that's a part of the	10:51:52
23	plan. As we've discussed, the plan was primarily	10:51:54
24	designed by Jessica Hawkins, Terri White, in some	10:51:59
25	cases Julie Crofts, so I'm using the assumptions	10:52:05
		Page 79

1	that they would give me.	10:52:09
2	Q (BY MR. BRODY) So you're relying on the	10:52:10
3	work that they did to determine, basically, the	10:52:11
4	extent to which costs will not decrease will or	10:52:15
5	will not decrease over time?	10:52:20
6	A Yes, I'm relying on the information they	10:52:22
7	gave me.	10:52:25
8	Q Okay. And so you're not making any kind	10:52:27
9	of judgments, you know, for example, if we engage in	10:52:29
10	a media campaign, we're not going to need the media	10:52:33
11	campaign after five years, that's not something	10:52:38
12	you're taking a look at or analyzing in this case?	10:52:41
13	A Correct.	10:52:44
14	Q And as another example, if we, you know,	10:52:45
15	treat addiction for 10 years, the costs to address	10:52:50
16	opioid addiction in the state of Oklahoma are going	10:52:57
17	to decline in the ensuing 10 years significantly,	10:52:59
18	you're not making that kind of judgment or offering	10:53:04
19	that kind of opinion in this case?	10:53:07
20	A Correct.	10:53:08
21	Q And, you know, conversely, you're not	10:53:08
22	offering the opinion that, well, even if we	10:53:10
23	implement this broad based media campaign and go	10:53:14
24	full bore for two years, you know, we're not going	10:53:17
25	to need to there's going to be no reason to cut	10:53:23
		Page 80

1	back and no basis to cut back, we're still going to	10:53:26
2	have the need for it in years 3 through 30?	10:53:30
3	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:53:34
4	THE WITNESS: Correct.	10:53:35
5	Q (BY MR. BRODY) If you could turn to page	10:53:47
6	14. Now, this is Exhibit T1, which covers addiction	10:53:49
7	treatment services, correct?	10:54:06
8	A Yes.	10:54:08
9	Q And primary information source is listed	10:54:09
10	as the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and	10:54:15
11	Substance Abuse Services, correct?	10:54:16
12	A Yes.	10:54:19
13	Q Who provided well, who was your source	10:54:20
14	at ODMHSAS?	10:54:23
15	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:54:28
16	THE WITNESS: So my source for this	10:54:30
17	information would have been Jessica Hawkins and	10:54:32
18	I'll stop there.	10:54:39
19	Q (BY MR. BRODY) How did she provide it to	10:54:41
20	you?	10:54:42
21	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	10:54:45
22	THE WITNESS: I believe by e-mail.	10:54:47
23	Q (BY MR. BRODY) When did she provide the	10:54:51
24	information to you?	10:54:52
25	A I don't recall.	10:54:53
		Page 81

1	Q (BY MR. BRODY) Would they be addressing	13:43:02
2	things other than opioid abuse and addiction?	13:43:04
3	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	13:43:06
4	Outside the scope of the witness's expert testimony.	13:43:07
5	Not here to testify about the programs.	13:43:10
6	THE WITNESS: As I've stated a number of	13:43:12
7	times now, I'll not be testifying on any of the	13:43:15
8	details or appropriateness of the programs.	13:43:18
9	Q (BY MR. BRODY) So is the answer you don't	13:43:21
10	know whether they would be addressing things other	13:43:22
11	than opioid abuse and addiction?	13:43:24
12	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	13:43:26
13	Outside the scope.	13:43:27
14	THE WITNESS: Correct.	13:43:28
15	Q (BY MR. BRODY) Is this information that	13:43:34
16	came to you from Jessica Hawkins?	13:43:35
17	A Yes.	13:43:38
18	Q Do you know what the source of the	13:43:39
19	calculation was for her?	13:43:41
20	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	13:43:44
21	Outside the scope. Calls for speculation.	13:43:46
22	THE WITNESS: The source of her	13:43:48
23	calculation, no, I mean, not beyond what's in the	13:43:49
24	footnote here.	13:43:51
25	Q (BY MR. BRODY) Okay. So you don't know	13:43:52
		Page 174

1	what the source was for the idea that it would be a	13:43:54
2		
3	A No.	13:43:58
4	Q And as with the prior table exhibit that	13:44:01
5	we looked at, your calculation of the 20-, 25- and	13:44:07
6	30-year net present value costs of higher education	13:44:10
7	discretionary prevention funds as part of the	13:44:14
8	abatement plans assumes no reduction in annual cost	13:44:18
9	over that 30-year period, correct?	13:44:24
10	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	13:44:26
11	Outside the scope.	13:44:26
12	THE WITNESS: Correct.	13:44:27
13	Q (BY MR. BRODY) Turn to T15. This is Public	13:44:29
14	Education, correct?	13:44:35
15	A Yes.	13:44:36
16	Q And your primary information source here	13:44:37
17	is Saxum	13:44:40
18	A Yes.	13:44:43
19	Q right?	13:44:43
20	A Yes.	13:44:43
21	Q Tell me what information was provided to	13:44:44
22	you by Saxum.	13:44:46
23	A So Saxum provided a spreadsheet that had	13:44:48
24	detailed and it's the spreadsheet referenced in	13:44:54
25	this report details that had a detailed breakdown of	13:44:57
		Page 175

1	different kinds of services by year sorry by	13:45:03
2	period. And so what's on this table are kind of	13:45:08
3	summaries of the totals on a year-by-year basis,	13:45:15
4	with the exceptions of that spreadsheet also	13:45:19
5	included counter detailing costs, which are excluded	13:45:23
6	here and included in a later exhibit.	13:45:26
7	Q In a later exhibit, right.	13:45:29
8	Let's mark this as Exhibit 6. I	13:45:30
9	apologize, it's hard to read.	13:45:41
10	(Exhibit 6 marked for identification.)	13:45:42
11	A Okay.	13:45:44
12	Q I just want to ask you I'm not going to	13:45:45
13	ask you any questions about it, I just want to ask	13:45:47
14	if you recognize this as the document referenced in	13:45:49
15	your prior answer?	13:45:53
16	A Yes, it is.	13:45:54
17	Q All right. And this is the document that	13:45:55
18	was the source for the numbers that appear on page	13:45:57
19	33 in the table in Exhibit T15, correct?	13:45:59
20	A Yes.	13:46:02
21	Q Do you have any idea how these numbers	13:46:05
22	were calculated	13:46:09
23	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Object to form.	13:46:12
24	Q (BY MR. BRODY) in Exhibit 6?	13:46:12
25	A No.	13:46:13
		Page 176

1	Q Have you read the deposition of Renzi	13:46:14
2	Stone in this case?	13:46:18
3	A No.	13:46:19
4	Q Exhibit P1 provides costs for service	13:46:42
5	described as "Naloxone Distribution and Education,"	13:46:48
6	correct?	13:46:51
7	A Yes.	13:46:51
8	Q And it indicates that, "The populations of	13:46:52
9	focus are to be determined through review of	13:46:56
10	academic literature and epidemiological data,"	13:46:59
11	correct?	13:47:05
12	A Yes.	13:47:06
13	Q If the population is to be determined, how	13:47:06
14	can you know the cost	13:47:08
15	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to the form.	13:47:09
16	Outside the scope.	13:47:10
17	Q (BY MR. BRODY) already?	13:47:11
18	A So as mentioned, I did not compute. These	13:47:13
19	costs, these were provided me as part of the	13:47:16
20	abatement plan, so that's what I'm using in the work	13:47:19
21	that I've done.	13:47:21
22	Q So who provided these costs to you?	13:47:22
23	A So so the information would have been	13:47:24
24	provided to me by Jessica Hawkins, but you note	13:47:27
25	there's two primary information sources here, so	13:47:30
		Page 177

ſ		-
1	Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse	13:47:33
2	Services and the Department of Mental Health.	13:47:39
3	Q So what did the Oklahoma State Department	13:47:42
4	of Health provide to you directly, if anything?	13:47:43
5	A I don't think I got anything directly, and	13:47:52
6	certainly in the final form I did not get directly	13:47:54
7	from them.	13:47:56
8	Q Did you speak to anyone with the Oklahoma	13:47:57
9	State Department of Health about the costs and	13:47:59
10	categories that are listed in the second table on	13:48:01
11	page 34?	13:48:06
12	A Not to my recollection.	13:48:08
13	Q Did you ask Jessica Hawkins, "How can you	13:48:10
14	know how much it's going to cost to provide access	13:48:15
15	for naloxone kits plus administrative costs if you	13:48:19
16	don't know the population of focus?"	13:48:23
17	MR. LEONOUDAKIS: Objection to form.	13:48:25
18	THE WITNESS: No.	13:48:26
19	Q (BY MR. BRODY) So you just took her number,	13:48:30
20		
21	total for the naloxone distribution education	13:48:41
22	program, correct?	13:48:45
23	A Well, you will notice on Footnote 85	13:48:47
24	there's some additional information breaking down	13:48:49
25	the costs, but in answer to your question, as has	13:48:52
		Page 178