

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,)))
Plaintiff,)
) Case No. CJ-2017-816
vs.) Judge Thad Balkman
(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;)
(2) PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;))
(3) THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;))
(4) TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;)
(5) CEPHALON, INC.;) STATE OF OKLALION
(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;) STATE OF OKLAHOMA) CLEVELAND COUNTY)
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC;	
(8) ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN) FILED
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a	FEB 22 2019
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS;) 10 ~ 2019
(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.,)
n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;) In the office of the
(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,) Court Clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS
f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;)
(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and)
(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,)
f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,)
Defendants.	<i>)</i>

PURDUE'S RESPONSE TO THE PROPOSAL TO ASSIGN UNIQUE CASE NUMBERS TO EACH DEFENDANT FAMILY AND TO CONSOLIDATE ACTIONS

Purdue respectfully submits this response to the February 14 order for briefing on the Court's authority to sever claims and consolidate actions. Initially, Purdue notes that severance means something different than what the State's private attorneys propose. As 12 O.S. § 2021 provides, "[a]ny claim against a party may be severed and *proceeded with separately*." Here, by contrast, the proposal is not to sever and proceed to separate trials, but rather to assign separate

case numbers to each defendant family and then reconsolidate the cases for a unified trial. The State's attorneys cite no instance where an Oklahoma court used such an unorthodox procedural two-step. This unprecedented procedural tactic is fueled by concerns of the State's attorneys, who for months have represented to this Court that Purdue is on the verge of declaring bankruptcy. Even at last week's hearing, the State's attorneys suggested Purdue would file bankruptcy this week. Yet Purdue is still here—ready, willing, and eager to prove in this Court that the State's claims are baseless.

Purdue has no objection if the Court wants to sever the claims and proceed to separate trials for the Purdue, Janssen, and Teva defendants. But Purdue objects to the proposal to reconsolidate the cases for a unified trial. This is no simple procedural device. Rather, it implicates significant substantive rights of the parties. Purdue submits that it would be premature to rule on the scope, format, and contours of trial, with fact discovery still underway, and with the evidentiary record in development. Whether these cases can be tried together is an issue that needs separate briefing and careful consideration, after the close of discovery. Doing anything else risks great prejudice to Purdue and the other defendants.

At an appropriate time, with the benefit of complete discovery, the Court could consider whether it is possible to hold a consolidated trial that will be fair to all parties. The potential for unfairness is obvious. A trial that runs 2-3 months will impose a significant burden on the jury. The hearsay evidence offered against one defendant will not be admissible against another. There is no guarantee that limiting instructions will prevent juror confusion and protect the rights of the parties in a trial of this scope and length. Each defendant has a Due Process right to present an individual defense and cross-examine witnesses, which cannot be ignored in the name of expedience. There are other threshold procedural and substantive considerations for the Court, such as what questions should appear on a jury questionnaire, how the Court will conduct *voir dire* and seat a jury while protecting the right of each defendant to meaningfully participate in the process and exercise challenges, or even something as mundane as how the courtroom will accommodate multiple sets of lawyers representing different clients. At last week's hearing, the State's lawyers suggested it was premature to consider these trial issues now. Purdue submits that for similar reasons, it is premature to consider whether the cases should be tried separately or together—at least until discovery has closed and the Court has had the opportunity to consider

full briefing on the consolidation issue.

Dated: February 22, 2019

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Joshua D. Burns, OBA No. 32967 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Tel: (405) 235-7700 Fax: (405) 272-5269 sandy.coats@crowedunlevy.com joshua.burns@crowedunlevy.com

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Hayden A. Coleman Erik Snapp Paul A. LaFata Jonathan S. Tam Will W. Sachse DECHERT LLP Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Tel: (212) 698-3500 Fax: (212) 698-3599 sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com mark.cheffo@dechert.com hayden.coleman@dechert.com erik.snapp@dechert.com paul.lafata@dechert.com

jonathan.tam@dechert.com will.sachse@dechert.com

Trey Cox Eric Pinker John Volney Elizabeth Ryan Lynn Pinker Cox Hurst 2100 Ross Avenue, Suite 2700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Tel: (214) 981-3813 Fax: (214) 981-3839 tcox@lynnllp.com epinker@lynnllp.com jvolney@lynnllp.com

Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 22, 2019, the foregoing was served via email upon the counsel of record listed on the attached Service List.

Sanford C. Coats

SERVICE LIST

WHITTEN BURRAGE

Michael Burrage Reggie Whitten 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com *Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma*

NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP Bradley E. Beckworth Jeffrey J. Angelovich Lloyd "Trey" Nolan Duck, III Andrew Pate Lisa Baldwin Nathan B. Hall 512 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com tduck@nixlaw.com dpate@nixlaw.com lbaldwin@nixlaw.com nhall@nixlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC

Benjamin H. Odom John H. Sparks Michael W. Ridgeway David L. Kinney HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072 odomb@odomsparks.com sparksj@odomsparks.com ridgewaym@odomsparks.com ridgewaym@odomsparks.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-*

OKLAHOMA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Mike Hunter Abby Dillsaver Ethan A. Shaner 313 NE 21st St Oklahoma City, OK 73105 abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov *Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma*

GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC Glenn Coffee 915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 gcoffee@glenncoffee.com Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

FOLIART, HUFF, OTTAWAY & BOTTOM Larry D. Ottaway Amy Sherry Fischer 201 Robert S. Kerr Avenue, 12th Floor Oklahoma City, OK 73102 larryottaway@oklahomacounsel.com amyfischer@oklahomacounsel.com Attorneys for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen

Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Stephen D. Brody David K. Roberts 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 sbrody@omm.com droberts2@omm.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen*

DECHERT, LLP Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Hayden A. Coleman Paul A. LaFata Jonathan S. Tam Erik Snapp Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com mark.cheffo@dechert.com hayden.coleman@dechert.com paul.lafata@dechert.com jonathan.tam@dechert.com erik.snapp@dechert.com Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc. and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Charles C. Lifland Jennifer D. Cardelús 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 clifland@omm.com jcardelus@omm.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

LYNN PINKER COX & HURST, LLP Eric Wolf Pinker John Thomas Cox III Lynn Pinker Cox & Hurst, LLP 2100 Ross Avenue, Suite 2700 Dallas, TX 75201 epinker@lynnllp.com tcox@lynnllp.com *Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc. and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.* GABLEGOTWALS Robert G. McCampbell Nicholas V. Merkley Ashley E. Quinn One Leadership Square, 15th Fl. 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255 RMcCampbell@Gablelaw.com NMerkley@Gablelaw.com AQuinn@Gablelaw.com AQuinn@Gablelaw.com Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian M. Ercole 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300 Miami, FL 33131 <u>brian.ercole@morganlewis.com</u> Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Rebecca Hillyer Lindsey T. Mills 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 steven.reed@morganlewis.com harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com rebeccahillyer@morganlewis.com lindsey.mills@morganlewis.com *Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.*