OUNT 1 Court clork MARIL YN WILLIAMS IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY **STATE OF OKLAHOMA**

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA.

Plaintiff.

Case No. CJ-2017-816

Honorable Thad Balkman

v.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

Special Discovery Master William C. Hetherington, Jr.

PURDUE'S MOTION TO QUASH AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR DEPOSITION NOTICE OF PURDUE VIA BURT ROSEN

Pursuant to Title 12 §§ 2004.1(C)(3) and 3226(C) of Oklahoma's Discovery Code, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Co. (collectively "Purdue") respectfully move for a protective order and request that the Court quash the deposition notice issued to take testimony of Purdue through the deposition of Burt Rosen. (See Notices for § 3230 Depo. of Corp. Reps. of Purdue ("Notice") (Ex. A).)

On October 29, 2018, the State asked Purdue's counsel about deposing Mr. Rosen as an individual, and undersigned counsel provided the deposition date of Mr. Rosen in the MDL proceeding for that purpose, which the State rejected. Then, the State changed tack and unilaterally issued a deposition notice to take testimony of the Purdue companies through Mr. Rosen, rather than of him in his personal capacity. The State did not attempt to meet and confer before issuing this unilateral notice. This deposition is substantively improper, and the State neglected even to follow the required deposition protocol when issuing the Notice. As a result, and in addition to the reasons set forth below and in the accompanying Objections to Deposition Notices, Purdue moves to quash the Notice, and for a protective order.

As an initial matter, Mr. Rosen is not employed by The Purdue Frederick Co. Thus, he may not be summoned by notice to give corporate deposition testimony on behalf The Purdue Frederick Co. In addition, Mr. Rosen, by mere status of being a Vice President, may not properly provide deposition testimony as a corporate representative on behalf of any of the Purdue entities. The State is already seeking, and Purdue will be providing, a corporate representative witness to testify on the same topics that the State is purportedly seeking to obtain from Mr. Rosen.

Not only have the parties scheduled such a deposition, Mr. Rosen is an individual who has never been involved with the marketing or sales of opioid products—the issues central to this litigation. Mr. Rosen is the Vice President of Federal Policy and Legislative Affairs for Purdue Pharma, L.P. Ex. B, Declaration of Burt Rosen ("Rosen Decl.") ¶ 2. Mr. Rosen is responsible for promoting federal public policy advancements and providing advice to the senior management of Purdue. *Id.* ¶ 4. Mr. Rosen has never had any involvement with the manufacture, marketing, promotion, or sales of opioid products. *Id.* ¶ 6. Instead, his work largely revolves around public policy advancements. *Id.* ¶ 4. He has no executive authority over any of the Purdue entities, and his role in the day-to-day operations of Purdue Pharma, L.P. is limited to federal legislative matters. *Id.* ¶¶ 5, 8.

ARGUMENT

Under Oklahoma's Discovery Code, a court "may enter any order which justice requires to protect a party or person from annoyance, harassment, embarrassment, oppression or undue delay, burden or expense." Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12, § 3226(C)(1). In analyzing whether an individual may properly provide testimony as a corporate representative, courts consider whether the discovery sought is "relevant to any party's claim or defense" and whether it is

2

"unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, or can be obtained from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive." Okla. Stat. Ann. tit 12, § 3226; *see also Bradley v. Lorillard Tobacco Corp.*, 2014 WL 12628519, at *2 (M.D. Fla. June 25, 2014).¹

The Court should quash the Notice of the Purdue companies through Mr. Rosen here for the following reasons: (i) because Mr. Rosen does not hold any position in The Purdue Frederick Co., as a matter of fact or law he cannot be deposed as a representative of that company; (ii) the State's deposition request will only result in duplicative testimony; and (iii) the same discovery the State seeks here is available from less burdensome alternatives.

A. Deposition notice for corporate testimony for The Purdue Frederick Co. is improper because Mr. Rosen cannot testify as a corporate representative of that entity.

The State issued one Notice for testimony from all three Purdue entities—Purdue Pharma, L.P., The Purdue Frederick Co., and Purdue Pharma Inc.—through Mr. Rosen. However, as a matter of fact, Mr. Rosen does not hold any position in The Purdue Frederick Co. Because no witness can give corporate representative testimony on behalf of a company for which the witness is not an employee, officer, director, or managing agent, *see Minter v. Prime Equip. Co.*, 356 F. App'x 154, 162 (10th Cir. 2009), Mr. Rosen cannot testify on behalf of The Purdue Frederick Co.

B. Deposing Mr. Rosen as a corporate representative will only result in duplicative and harassing testimony.

The parties have already scheduled a corporate representative to testify on behalf of Purdue on the same topics that Mr. Rosen would purportedly testify about here. Specifically, Purdue will be providing a 3230(C)(5) witness to testify on legislative efforts and activities and

¹ Since the "Discovery Code was [] adopted from the federal scheme," Oklahoma courts look to federal authority construing the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for guidance when applying similar provisions. *Scott v. Peterson*, 2005 OK 84, ¶ 22, 126 P.3d 1232, 1238.

the Pain Care Forum, among other topics. Because the State is already "scheduled to depose" a corporate representative on these topics, any information sought from Mr. Rosen "will be obtained[] through other deponents and would be duplicative." *In re Yasmin & Yaz*, 2011 WL 3759699, at *6 (S.D. Ill. Aug. 18, 2011).

In addition, Burt Rosen has never had any involvement with the manufacture, marketing, or sales of opioid products—the issues central to the claims in this litigation. Rosen Decl. ¶ 6. All of the State's causes of action in this case revolve around allegations that Purdue made misrepresentations and omissions regarding the marketing of opioid medications. However, Mr. Rosen was never involved in the creation of any marketing materials; nor was he involved in the promotion or sale of opioid medications. *Id.* As a result, Mr. Rosen does not have any "unique personal knowledge about the controversy," *see Evans v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 216 F.R.D. 515, 519 (N.D. Okla. 2003), to testify as a corporate representative in this case. *See also Salter v. Upjohn Co.*, 593 F.2d 649, 651 (5th Cir.1979) (prohibiting deposition of defendant's president in a wrongful death action against drug manufacturer because he was "extremely busy and did not have any direct knowledge of the facts").

Instead, Mr. Rosen's job responsibilities have focused on speaking with lawmakers about legislation in Congress regarding the pharmaceutical industry. Whether a bill passed or did not pass, and whether Mr. Rosen had any role in advocating for its passage, are all matters removed from the issues central to this case. Critical to this point is that Mr. Rosen is only involved with *federal* legislative matters—he has no involvement with state matters. Rosen Decl. ¶ 5. Despite this, the State improperly seeks his testimony as a corporate representative for their state-based claims.

4

C. Requiring Mr. Rosen to sit for a corporate representative deposition will be unduly burdensome.

The State has already requested to depose Mr. Rosen as a fact witness, and after rejecting the date that Purdue offered, the State issued the underlying Notice to depose the Purdue companies via Mr. Rosen. This is in addition to the fact that the State is already taking more than 80 hours of corporate deposition testimony of Purdue. Finally, as detailed above, the State is already seeking, and Purdue will be providing, a corporate representative witness to discuss government affairs activities. This witness, instead of Mr. Rosen, will be more apt to discuss such matters on behalf of Purdue, and will certainly be a "source that is more convenient [and] less burdensome." *Ciarrocchi v. Unum Grp.*, 2009 WL 10676631, at *4 (D.N.J. Aug. 27, 2009). As a result, the State's Notice improperly seeks testimony from Mr. Rosen as a corporate representative.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Purdue respectfully requests that this Court grant its Motion to Quash and Motion for Protective Order. Specifically, Purdue requests that the Court quash the State's deposition notice for Burt Rosen and enter a protective order preventing the State from taking the deposition of Mr. Rosen as a corporate representative of Purdue. Date: November 28, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Joshua D. Burns, OBA No. 32967 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Tel: (405) 235-7700 Fax: (405) 272-5269 sandy.coats@crowedunlevy.com joshua.burns@crowedunlevy.com

Of Counsel:

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Hayden A. Coleman Paul A. LaFata Jonathan S. Tam DECHERT, LLP Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Tel: (212) 698-3500 Fax: (212) 698-3599 sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com mark.cheffo@dechert.com hayden.coleman@dechert.com paul.lafata@dechert.com jonathan.tam@dechert.com

Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 28th day of November, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the following:

PURDUE'S MOTION TO QUASH AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR DEPOSITION NOTICE OF PURDUE VIA BURT ROSEN

to be served via email upon the counsel of record listed on the attached Service List.

te

SERVICE LIST

WHITTEN BURRAGE

Michael Burrage Reggie Whitten 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com *Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma*

NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP Bradley E. Beckworth Jeffrey J. Angelovich Lloyd "Trey" Nolan Duck, III Andrew Pate Lisa Baldwin 512 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com tduck@nixlaw.com dpate@nixlaw.com lbaldwin@nixlaw.com

ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC

Benjamin H. Odom John H. Sparks HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072 odomb@odomsparks.com sparksj@odomsparks.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

OKLAHOMA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Mike Hunter Abby Dillsaver Ethan A. Shaner 313 NE 21st St Oklahoma City, OK 73105 abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov *Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma*

GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC Glenn Coffee 915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 gcoffee@glenncoffee.com Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

DECHERT. LLP Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Havden A. Coleman Paul A. LaFata Jonathan S. Tam Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York. New York 10036 sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com mark.cheffo@dechert.com hayden.coleman@dechert.com paul.lafata@dechert.com jonathan.tam@dechert.com Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Charles C. Lifland Jennifer D. Cardelús David K. Roberts 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 clifland@omm.com jcardelus@omm.com droberts2@omm.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

GABLEGOTWALS Robert G. McCampbell Nicholas V. Merkley One Leadership Square, 15th Fl. 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255 RMcCampbell@Gablelaw.com NMerkley@Gablelaw.com MMerkley@Gablelaw.com Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian M. Ercole 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300 Miami, FL 33131 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Stephen D. Brody 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 sbrody@omm.com Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals.

Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals. Inc

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Rebecca Hillyer 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 steven.reed@morganlewis.com harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com rebecca.hillyer@morganlewis.com *Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.*

EXHIBIT A

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.,)
MIKE HUNTER,)
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,)
Plaintiff,)
) Case No. CJ-2017-816
vs.) Judge Thad Balkman
(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;) Special Master:
(2) PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;) William Hetherington
(3) THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;)
(4) TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;)
(5) CEPHALON, INC.;)
(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;)
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC;	ý
(8) ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN	ý
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a)
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS;)
(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.,)
n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,)
f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;)
(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and)
(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,)
f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

NOTICE FOR 3230 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE(S) OF PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.; PURDUE PHARMA, INC.; AND THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY

TO:

,

VIA email

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Cullen D. Sweeney, OBA No. 30269 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

VIA email

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Paul LaFata Hayden A. Coleman Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park New York, New York 10036

COUNSEL FOR THE PURDUE DEFENDANTS

Please take notice that, on the date and at the time indicated below, Plaintiff will take the deposition(s) upon oral examination of the corporate representative, Burt Rosen, of Defendants, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma, Inc., and the Purdue Frederick Company (collectively, the "Purdue Defendants") in accordance with 12 O.S. §3230.

The oral and video deposition(s) will occur as follows:

DATE	TIME	LOCATION
December 3, 2018	8:00 am	Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Avenue, Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Said depositions are to be used as evidence in the trial of the above cause, the same to be taken before a qualified reporter and shall be recorded by videotape. Said depositions when so taken and returned according to law may be used as evidence in the trial of this cause and the taking of the same will be adjourned and continue from day-to-day until completed, at the same place until it is completed.

Dated: November 7, 2018

<u>/s/ Michael Burrage</u> Michael Burrage, OBA No. 1350 Reggie Whitten, OBA No. 9576 WHITTEN BURRAGE 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 516-7800 Facsimile: (405) 516-7859 Emails: mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com Mike Hunter, OBA No. 4503

Mike Hunter, OBA No. 4503 ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA Abby Dillsaver, OBA No. 20675 GENERAL COUNSEL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Ethan A. Shaner, OBA No. 30916 DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL 313 N.E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Telephone: (405) 521-3921 Facsimile: (405) 521-6246 Emails: mike.hunter@oag.ok.gov abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov

.

Bradley E. Beckworth, OBA No. 19982 Jeffrey J. Angelovich, OBA No. 19981 NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 516-7800 Facsimile: (405) 516-7859 Emails: bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com

Glenn Coffee, OBA No. 14563 GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 601-1616 Email: gcoffee@glenncoffee.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was emailed on November 7, 2018 to:

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Cullen D. Sweeney, OBA No. 30269 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Paul LaFata Hayden A. Coleman Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park New York, New York 10036

Patrick J. Fitzgerald R. Ryan Stoll SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 155 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Robert G. McCampbell, OBA No. 10390 Travis J. Jett, OBA No. 30601 GABLEGOTWALS One Leadership Square, 15th Floor 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255

Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Jeremy A. Menkowitz MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

Brian M. Ercole MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300 Miami, FL 33131

Benjamin H. Odom, OBA No. 10917

John H. Sparks, OBA No. 15661 ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072

Charles C. Lifland Jennifer D. Cardelus O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071

Stephen D. Brody O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006

/s/ Michael Burrage

Michael Burrage

EXHIBIT B

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CJ-2017-816

Honorable Thad Balkman

Special Discovery Master William C. Hetherington, Jr.

DECLARATION OF BURT ROSEN

1. I, Burt Rosen, submit this declaration based upon my personal knowledge and in support of Purdue's Motion to Quash and Motion for Protective Order for Deposition Notice of Purdue via Burt Rosen.

2. I am the Vice President of Federal Policy and Legislative Affairs for Purdue

Pharma, L.P., a position I have held since September 2013.

3. I joined the company in December 2001, and held the position of Vice President of Federal Government Affairs until September 2013.

4. Throughout my time at Purdue, I have been responsible for promoting federal public policy advancements and providing advice to the senior management of Purdue.

5. I do not have responsibility, and have never had responsibility, for Purdue's state government affairs activities in Oklahoma.

6. I do not have and have never had a role in the manufacture, marketing or promotion, or sale of opioid products.

7. I have never been employed by The Purdue Frederick Co.

8. I have no executive authority over any of the Purdue entities.

9. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Date: 11/28/18

٠

Aqua-<