IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY **STATE OF OKLAHOMA** Oour clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. CJ-2017-816

CLEVELAND COUNTY

.S.S.

Honorable Thad Balkman

v.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

Special Discovery Master William C. Hetherington, Jr.

PURDUE'S MOTION TO QUASH AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR DEPOSITION NOTICE OF PURDUE VIA JONATHAN SACKLER AND MORTIMER D.A. SACKLER

Pursuant to Title 12 §§ 2004.1(C)(3) and 3226(C) of Oklahoma's Discovery Code, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Co. (collectively "Purdue") respectfully move for a protective order and request that the Court quash deposition notices issued to take testimony of Purdue through the depositions of Jonathan Sackler and Mortimer D.A. Sackler. (See Notices for § 3230 Depo. of Corp. Reps. of Purdue ("Notices") (Exs. A and B).)

Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler do not hold any position for Purdue Pharma, L.P. or The Purdue Frederick Co. They are each members of the Board of Directors for Purdue Pharma Inc., but do not exert executive authority over Purdue Pharma Inc. in that capacity. On October 29, 2018, the State asked Purdue's counsel about deposing Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler as *individuals* and asked whether Purdue's counsel represented them for that purpose. After Purdue's counsel responded that they do not represent Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler as individuals on October 30, 2018, the State changed tack. Rather than issue subpoenas seeking the testimony of Messrs. Jonathan and

Mortimer D.A. Sackler in their individual capacity, it issued deposition notices to take testimony *of the Purdue companies* through Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler. Despite knowing that Purdue's counsel do not represent Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler, the State did not attempt to meet and confer. These depositions are substantively improper, and the State neglected even to follow the required deposition protocol when issuing the Notices. As a result, and in addition to the reasons set forth below and in the accompanying Objections to Deposition Notices, Purdue moves to quash the Notices, and for a protective order.

Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler are not employees, officers, directors, or agents of Purdue Pharma, L.P. or The Purdue Frederick Co., so they cannot as a matter of fact or law be deposed as representatives of those companies. Moreover, in their capacity as members of the Board of Directors for Purdue Pharma Inc., Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler do not have any role in, or executive authority over, the day-to-day operations for Purdue Pharma Inc. or any of the other Purdue entities. Their knowledge of day-to-day operations is principally based on what they received second hand, *i.e.*, what they have read in board materials and been told by management at the Purdue entities. Accordingly, other corporate witnesses that Purdue will be providing to testify on the several topics that the State has noticed for over 80 hours of deposition testimony—each of whom is personally involved in Purdue Pharma Inc.'s day-to-day operations in a management capacity—are a much better source of the information the State purports to seek.

ARGUMENT

Depositions of a company's high-level or senior executives present the potential for abuse and harassment. See Thomas v. Int'l Bus. Machines, 48 F.3d 478, 483 (10th Cir. 1995).¹ Under Oklahoma's Discovery Code, a court "may enter any order which justice requires to protect a party or person from annovance, harassment, embarrassment, oppression or undue delay, burden or expense." Okla. Stat. Ann. tit. 12, § 3226(C)(1). The Court should quash the deposition notices of the Purdue companies through Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler here for the following reasons: (i) because Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler do not hold any positions in Purdue Pharma, L.P. or The Purdue Frederick Co., as a matter of fact or law they cannot be deposed as representatives of those companies; (ii) the State is already taking more than 80 hours of corporate deposition testimony of Purdue that cover a sweepingly broad array of subjects on which the State will get the testimony it seeks here, making these deposition requests overly burdensome, duplicative, and harassing; and (iii) the same discovery the State seeks here is available from less burdensome alternatives that Purdue is providing to the State, including the State's depositions of 3230(C)(5) witnesses and fact witnesses and voluminous document discovery.

A. Deposition notices for corporate testimony for Purdue Pharma, L.P. and The Purdue Frederick Co. are improper because the noticed individuals cannot testify as corporate representatives of these entities.

As a threshold matter, the State issued one Notice for testimony from all three Purdue entities—Purdue Pharma, L.P., The Purdue Frederick Co., and Purdue Pharma Inc.—through Jonathan Sackler, and another through Mortimer D.A. Sackler. But, as a matter of fact, Messrs.

¹ The federal counterpart for Section 3226 is Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. Since the "Discovery Code was [] adopted from the federal scheme," Oklahoma courts "have looked to federal authority construing federal Rule 26 for guidance when applying our similar provision." *Scott v. Peterson*, 2005 OK 84, ¶ 22, 126 P.3d 1232, 1238.

Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler do not hold any positions in two of the three Purdue entities whose testimony is sought: Purdue Pharma, L.P. and The Purdue Frederick Co.² Because no witness can give corporate representative testimony on behalf of a company for which the witness is not an employee, officer, director, or managing agent, *see Minter v. Prime Equip. Co.*, 356 F. App'x 154, 162 (10th Cir. 2009), Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler cannot be deposed as representatives of those companies.

B. Deposition notices for corporate testimony for Purdue Pharma Inc. will only result in duplicative and harassing testimony.

The State's Notices to Purdue Pharma Inc. through Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler should be quashed. Courts consistently hold that depositions of corporate representatives are improper where they are duplicative of testimony already obtained from other sources. For example, in *In re Yasmin & Yaz*, the plaintiffs sought to depose two senior executives of a pharmaceutical company. 2011 WL 3759699, at *1 (S.D. Ill. Aug. 18, 2011). Though the two executives had overarching business responsibilities that encompassed the product at issue, they lacked involvement in the type of day-to-day decision-making that would have given them unique knowledge relevant to the litigation. *Id.* at *6. The court refused to compel the witnesses to appear for depositions, observing that "plaintiffs have already deposed (and are scheduled to depose) numerous senior-level employees intimately familiar with the design, development, safety, marketing, and distribution of the subject drugs." *Id.* Thus, the court reasoned that "any information sought from [the executives] has been obtained (or will be obtained) through other deponents and would be duplicative." *Id.*

 $^{^2}$ In addition, pursuant to a transition that began months before the Notice was served in this case, Jonathan Sackler began the process of effecting his planned resignation from the board of Purdue Pharma Inc., and his resignation from that board is expected to take place in the near term.

For similar reasons, in *Thomas v. International Business Machines*, the Tenth Circuit held that the district court properly issued a protective order blocking the deposition of the defendant's chairman of the board of directors where the company made available for deposition another employee who could properly testify on the matter, and the chairman lacked personal knowledge of facts relevant to plaintiff's claims. 48 F.3d 478, 483 (10th Cir. 1995); *see also Evans v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 216 F.R.D. 515, 519 (N.D. Okla. 2003) (barring plaintiffs from taking depositions of senior corporate officers who had no unique personal knowledge of claims at issue).

Here, Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler serve as directors at Purdue Pharma Inc. and, in their roles as directors, do not participate in the day-to-day operations of that company or any other Purdue entity. To the extent they receive information about the operations of Purdue Pharma Inc. or the other Purdue entities, such information is reflected in board materials that have been and are being produced to the State. Other witnesses—including corporate witnesses noticed by the State who participated in the operations described in these board materials and fact witnesses—can testify based on the requisite first-hand knowledge that Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler lack.

Not surprisingly, the State has already been taking corporate representative testimony of Purdue by way of the normal mechanism for such testimony under Section 3230(C)(5). The State is seeking to take *over 80 hours* of such testimony of Purdue covering many topics, such as research conducted or funded by Purdue related to its opioid medications' risks and efficacy and Purdue's communications and relationships with medical schools in Oklahoma. The State already has deposed two Purdue corporate representatives who testified extensively on a broad array of subjects. The State deposed Purdue on August 29, 2018 on such topics as the alleged

5

responsibility for the opioid crisis, efforts taken to abate that crisis, and other subjects at deposition. The State further deposed Purdue on August 30, 2018 on the complete consolidated financial documents for Purdue companies, the organizational structure for Purdue companies, and ownership of those companies. In addition, the State has already deposed over 20 fact witnesses, including a territory business manager and the controller of Purdue Pharma, L.P. These depositions have covered a myriad of topics relating to the subject matter at the core of the State's allegations, namely the marketing and promotion of prescription opioid products.

It is inconceivable that there are subject areas that the State cannot cover through deposition of Purdue's fact witnesses or 3230(C)(5) witnesses and that can be obtained only by taking Purdue Pharma Inc.'s corporate representative testimony from Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler. Moreover, the State has already received more than *40 million pages* of discovery, including documents from the Board of Directors. The deposition Notices thus do not appear calculated to seek discovery that the State lacks or will not obtain from other sources. The Notices instead appear calculated to harass and delay by merely obtaining duplicative information, and thus should be quashed. *See, e.g., Ameritox, Ltd. v. Millennium Labs., Inc.*, 2012 WL 6568226, at *3 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 14, 2012) (granting motion to quash where discovery requests were cumulative and duplicative of requests already made in the litigation).

C. The same discovery the State seeks is available from less burdensome alternatives.

Not only can depositions of directors result in improperly duplicative testimony, the same discovery can also be obtained from less burdensome alternatives. In *Thomas v. International Business Machines*, the Tenth Circuit stressed the need for parties to obtain information via depositions from individuals "for whom a deposition might [be] less burdensome. 48 F.3d at 483. This is especially important for individuals affiliated with the board of a corporate

6

defendant as they are "singularly unique and important individual[s] who can be easily subjected to unwarranted harassment and abuse." *Mulvey v. Chrysler Corp.*, 106 F.R.D. 364, 366 (D.R.I. 1985).

Critically, the State does not, and cannot, identify any corporate information that could not be obtained through other witnesses or documents and can be obtained only through the testimony of Messrs. Jonathan or Mortimer D.A. Sackler. That is because the State's Notices targeting Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler are not part of a genuine effort to obtain relevant evidence that cannot be obtained from another source. Instead, the Notices are a thinly veiled effort to elide the deposition protocols and to misuse deposition notices to subject Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler to duplicative and harassing questions in the guise of "corporate" testimony. The State's ruse should not stand. Because it would be unduly burdensome for Messrs. Jonathan and Mortimer D.A. Sackler to sit for cumulative, duplicative, and harassing depositions on behalf of Purdue, this Court should "regulate the discovery process to avoid oppression, inconvenience, and burden," *Evans*, 216 F.R.D. at 519, by quashing these Notices.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Purdue respectfully requests that this Court grant its Motion to Quash and Motion for Protective Order. Specifically, Purdue requests that the Court quash the Notices and enter a protective order preventing the State from taking the depositions of Jonathan Sackler and Mortimer D.A. Sackler as corporate representatives of Purdue. Date: November 28, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Joshua D. Burns, OBA No. 32967 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Tel: (405) 235-7700 Fax: (405) 272-5269 sandy.coats@crowedunlevy.com joshua.burns@crowedunlevy.com

Of Counsel:

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Hayden A. Coleman Paul A. LaFata Jonathan S. Tam DECHERT, LLP Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Tel: (212) 698-3500 Fax: (212) 698-3599 sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com mark.cheffo@dechert.com hayden.coleman@dechert.com paul.lafata@dechert.com jonathan.tam@dechert.com

Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 28th day of November, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the following:

PURDUE'S MOTION TO QUASH AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR DEPOSITION NOTICE OF PURDUE VIA JONATHAN SACKLER AND MORTIMER D.A. SACKLER

to be served via email upon the counsel of record listed on the attached Service List.

fe di "

SERVICE LIST

WHITTEN BURRAGE

Michael Burrage Reggie Whitten 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com *Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma*

NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP Bradley E. Beckworth Jeffrey J. Angelovich Lloyd "Trey" Nolan Duck, III Andrew Pate Lisa Baldwin 512 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com tduck@nixlaw.com dpate@nixlaw.com lbaldwin@nixlaw.com

ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC

Benjamin H. Odom John H. Sparks HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072 odomb@odomsparks.com sparksj@odomsparks.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

OKLAHOMA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Mike Hunter Abby Dillsaver Ethan A. Shaner 313 NE 21st St Oklahoma City, OK 73105 abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov *Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma*

GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC Glenn Coffee 915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 gcoffee@glenncoffee.com Counsel for Plaintiff the State of Oklahoma

DECHERT, LLP Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Hayden A. Coleman Paul A. LaFata Jonathan S. Tam Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com mark.cheffo@dechert.com havden.coleman@dechert.com paul.lafata@dechert.com jonathan.tam@dechert.com Counsel for Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Charles C. Lifland Jennifer D. Cardelús David K. Roberts 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 clifland@omm.com jcardelus@omm.com droberts2@omm.com *Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

GABLEGOTWALS Robert G. McCampbell Nicholas V. Merkley One Leadership Square, 15th Fl. 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255 RMcCampbell@Gablelaw.com NMerkley@Gablelaw.com Mtorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian M. Ercole 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300 Miami, FL 33131 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Stephen D. Brody 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 sbrody@omm.com Counsel for Defendants Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a/ Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Rebecca Hillyer 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 steven.reed@morganlewis.com harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com rebecca.hillyer@morganlewis.com *Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a/ Watson Pharma, Inc.*

EXHIBIT A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.,)
MIKE HUNTER,)
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Case No. CJ-2017-816
vs.) Judge Thad Balkman
)
(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;) Special Master:
(2) PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;) William Hetherington
(3) THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;)
(4) TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;)
(5) CEPHALON, INC.;)
(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;)
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC;)
(8) ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a)
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS;)
(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.,)
n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,)
f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;)
(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and)
(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,)
f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

NOTICE FOR 3230 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE(S) OF PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.; PURDUE PHARMA, INC.; AND THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY

TO:

.

VIA email

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Cullen D. Sweeney, OBA No. 30269 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

VIA email

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Paul LaFata Hayden A. Coleman Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park New York, New York 10036

COUNSEL FOR THE PURDUE DEFENDANTS

Please take notice that, on the date and at the time indicated below, Plaintiff will take the deposition(s) upon oral examination of the corporate representative, Jonathan Sackler, of Defendants, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma, Inc., and the Purdue Frederick Company (collectively, the "Purdue Defendants") in accordance with 12 O.S. §3230.

The oral and video deposition(s) will occur as follows:

DATE		TIME	LOCATION
December	r 7, 2018	8:00 am	Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Avenue, Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Said depositions are to be used as evidence in the trial of the above cause, the same to be taken before a qualified reporter and shall be recorded by videotape. Said depositions when so taken and returned according to law may be used as evidence in the trial of this cause and the taking of the same will be adjourned and continue from day-to-day until completed, at the same place until it is completed.

Dated: November 7, 2018

/s/ Michael Burrage Michael Burrage, OBA No. 1350 Reggie Whitten, OBA No. 9576 WHITTEN BURRAGE 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 516-7800 Facsimile: (405) 516-7859 Emails: mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com

Mike Hunter, OBA No. 4503 ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA Abby Dillsaver, OBA No. 20675 GENERAL COUNSEL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Ethan A. Shaner, OBA No. 30916 DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL 313 N.E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Telephone: (405) 521-3921 Facsimile: (405) 521-6246 Emails: mike.hunter@oag.ok.gov abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov

•

Bradley E. Beckworth, OBA No. 19982 Jeffrey J. Angelovich, OBA No. 19981 NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 516-7800 Facsimile: (405) 516-7859 Emails: bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com

Glenn Coffee, OBA No. 14563 GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 601-1616 Email: gcoffee@glenncoffee.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was emailed on November 7, 2018 to:

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Cullen D. Sweeney, OBA No. 30269 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

. . . . **.**

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Paul LaFata Hayden A. Coleman Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park New York, New York 10036

Patrick J. Fitzgerald R. Ryan Stoll SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 155 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Robert G. McCampbell, OBA No. 10390 Travis J. Jett, OBA No. 30601 GABLEGOTWALS One Leadership Square, 15th Floor 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255

Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Jeremy A. Menkowitz MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

Brian M. Ercole MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300 Miami, FL 33131

Benjamin H. Odom, OBA No. 10917

John H. Sparks, OBA No. 15661 ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072

. . .

Charles C. Lifland Jennifer D. Cardelus O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071

Stephen D. Brody O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006

/s/ Michael Burrage

Michael Burrage

EXHIBIT B

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.,)
MIKE HUNTER,)
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Case No. CJ-2017-816
vs.) Judge Thad Balkman
)
(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;) Special Master:
(2) PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;) William Hetherington
(3) THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;)
(4) TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;)
(5) CEPHALON, INC.;)
(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;)
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC;)
(8) ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a)
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS;)
(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.,)
n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,)
f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;)
(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and)
(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,)
f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

NOTICE FOR 3230 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE(S) OF PURDUE PHARMA, L.P.; PURDUE PHARMA, INC.; AND THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY

TO:

. .

VIA email

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Cullen D. Sweeney, OBA No. 30269 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

VIA email

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Paul LaFata Hayden A. Coleman Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park New York, New York 10036

COUNSEL FOR THE PURDUE DEFENDANTS

Please take notice that, on the date and at the time indicated below, Plaintiff will take the deposition(s) upon oral examination of the corporate representative, Mortimer Sackler Jr., of Defendants, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma, Inc., and the Purdue Frederick Company (collectively, the "Purdue Defendants") in accordance with 12 O.S. §3230.

The oral and video deposition(s) will occur as follows:

DATE		TIME	LOCATION
December 14, 2018	3	8:00 am	Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Avenue, Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Said depositions are to be used as evidence in the trial of the above cause, the same to be taken before a qualified reporter and shall be recorded by videotape. Said depositions when so taken and returned according to law may be used as evidence in the trial of this cause and the taking of the same will be adjourned and continue from day-to-day until completed, at the same place until it is completed.

Dated: November 7, 2018

45

/s/ Michael Burrage Michael Burrage, OBA No. 1350 Reggie Whitten, OBA No. 9576 WHITTEN BURRAGE 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 516-7800 Facsimile: (405) 516-7859 Emails: mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com rwhitten@whittenburragelaw.com

Mike Hunter, OBA No. 4503 ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA Abby Dillsaver, OBA No. 20675 GENERAL COUNSEL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Ethan A. Shaner, OBA No. 30916 DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL 313 N.E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Telephone: (405) 521-3921 Facsimile: (405) 521-6246 Emails: mike.hunter@oag.ok.gov abby.dillsaver@oag.ok.gov ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov

• •

Bradley E. Beckworth, OBA No. 19982 Jeffrey J. Angelovich, OBA No. 19981 NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP 512 N. Broadway Avenue, Suite 200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 516-7800 Facsimile: (405) 516-7859 Emails: bbeckworth@nixlaw.com jangelovich@npraustin.com

Glenn Coffee, OBA No. 14563 GLENN COFFEE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 915 N. Robinson Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 601-1616 Email: gcoffee@glenncoffee.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was emailed on November 7, 2018 to:

Sanford C. Coats, OBA No. 18268 Cullen D. Sweeney, OBA No. 30269 CROWE & DUNLEVY, P.C. Braniff Building 324 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

• • •

Sheila Birnbaum Mark S. Cheffo Paul LaFata Hayden A. Coleman Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park New York, New York 10036

Patrick J. Fitzgerald R. Ryan Stoll SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 155 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Robert G. McCampbell, OBA No. 10390 Travis J. Jett, OBA No. 30601 GABLEGOTWALS One Leadership Square, 15th Floor 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7255

Steven A. Reed Harvey Bartle IV Jeremy A. Menkowitz MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

Brian M. Ercole MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 5300 Miami, FL 33131

Benjamin H. Odom, OBA No. 10917

John H. Sparks, OBA No. 15661 ODOM, SPARKS & JONES PLLC HiPoint Office Building 2500 McGee Drive Ste. 140 Oklahoma City, OK 73072

. . . ·

Charles C. Lifland Jennifer D. Cardelus O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 S. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071

Stephen D. Brody O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006

/s/ Michael Burrage

Michael Burrage